

Hemocoagulase reduces postoperative bleeding and blood transfusion in cardiac surgical patients A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis

Yun-Tai Yao, MD, PhD^{a,*}, Xin Yuan, MD, PhD^b, Neng-Xin Fang, MD, PhD^a

Abstract

Background: Hemocoagulase is isolated and purified from snake venoms. Hemocoagulase agents have been widely used in the prevention and treatment of surgical bleeding. A systematic review was performed to evaluate the effects of hemocoagulase on postoperative bleeding and transfusion in patients who underwent cardiac surgery.

Methods: Electronic databases were searched to identify all clinical trials comparing hemocoagulase with placebo/blank on postoperative bleeding and transfusion in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Two authors independently extracted perioperative data and outcome data. For continuous variables, treatment effects were calculated as weighted mean difference and 95% confidential interval (CI). For dichotomous data, treatment effects were calculated as odds ratio and 95% CI. Each outcome was tested for heterogeneity, and randomized-effects or fixed-effects model was used in the presence or absence of significant heterogeneity. Sensitivity analyses were done by examining the influence of statistical model and individual trial on estimated treatment effects. Publication bias was explored through visual inspection of funnel plots of the outcomes. Statistical significance was defined as P < .05.

Results: Our search yielded 12 studies including 900 patients, and 510 patients were allocated into hemocoagulase group and 390 into control group. Meta-analysis suggested that, hemocoagulase-treated patients had less bleeding volume, reduced red blood cells and fresh frozen plasma transfusion, and higher hemoglobin level than those of controlled patients postoperatively. Meta-analysis also showed that, hemocoagulase did not influence intraoperative heparin or protamine dosages and postoperative platelet counts. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, hemocoagulase-treated patients had significantly shorter postoperative prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time, higher fibrinogen level and similar D-dimer level when compared to control patients.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis has found some evidence showing that hemocoagulase reduces postoperative bleeding, and blood transfusion requirement in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. However, these findings should be interpreted rigorously. Further well-conducted trials are required to assess the blood-saving effects and mechanisms of Hemocoagulase.

Abbreviations: ACT = activated coagulation time, APTT = activated partial thromboplastin time, <math>CI = confidence interval, DD = Ddimer, FFP = fresh frozen plasma, Fg = fibrinogen, GPs = glycoproteins, Hb = hemoglobin, HCA = hemocoagulase agkistrodon, PC = platelet concentrate, PT = prothrombin time, RBC = red blood cells, RCT = randomized clinical trials, TLEs = thrombin-like enzymes, TT = thrombin time, VSD = ventricular septal defect, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: cardiac surgery, hemocoagulase, meta-analysis

Editor: Uddyalok Banerjee.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

^a Department of Anesthesiology, ^b Department of Adult Cardiac Surgery, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Yun-Tai Yao, Department of Anesthesiology, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, No. 167, Beilishi Road, Xicheng District, Beijing 100037, China (e-mail: yuntaiyao@126.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Yao YT, Yuan X, Fang NX. Hemocoagulase reduces postoperative bleeding and blood transfusion in cardiac surgical patients: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2019;98:52 (e18534).

Received: 10 June 2019 / Received in final form: 9 October 2019 / Accepted: 1 December 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000018534

1. Introduction

Systemic heparinization, hemodilution, and hypothermia applied during cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) significantly influence coagulation and fibrinolysis systems, resulting in excessive bleeding and increased requirement of blood transfusion.^[1]

Traditional hemostatic drugs (eg, anti-fibrinolytic agents) have hemostatic effects and side effects as well. Snake venom thrombin-like enzymes (TLEs) accelerate the formation of fibrin monomers and hastens fibrin clot formation.^[2–6] TLEs have drawn attention due to their outstanding features of low toxicity, fast onset of action, long-lasting efficacy, and no intravascular embolism.^[2–6] Hemocoagulase is a TLE isolated and purified from snake venoms.^[2–6] Hemocoagulase agents (reptilase, batroxobin, hemocoagulase agkistrodon [HCA]) have been widely used in the prevention and treatment of surgical bleeding.^[7–15] Evidence has accumulated that, hemocoagulase significantly reduces bleeding in patients undergoing abdominal, urological, thyroid, orthopedic, obstetric, and gynecological surgeries.^[8–15] A meta-analysis suggested that, topical hemocoagulase was significantly effective in reducing bleeding, pain and swelling and promoting wound healing after tooth extraction.^[7] However, another meta-analysis of 5 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) concluded that it was not evident enough to support the efficacy of hemocoagulase for hemorrhage during thoracic surgery.^[8] Whether hemocoagulase has beneficial effects on blood conservation in cardiac surgical patients remains undetermined. Therefore, we performed this meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of hemocoagulase on bleeding and transfusion in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethical approval

This study was a meta-analysis of previously published literatures, ethical approval was not necessary under the ethical committee of Fuwai Hospital.

2.2. Search strategy

We conducted a systemic review according to the preferred reporting items for systemic reviews and meta-analysis quality of reporting of meta-analysis guidelines^[16] (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/ D547]). The protocol of current meta-analysis was published in PROSPERO with the registration number of CRD42019127918. Relevant trials were identified by computerized searches of MEDLINE, Cochrane Library and Ovid till February 14th, 2019, using different combination of medical subject headings and text words (Supplemental Digital Content [Appendix, http://links. lww.com/MD/D543]). No language restriction was used. We also searched the Chinese BioMedical Literature & Retrieval System, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, VIP Database and Wangfang Database (from inception to February 14th, 2019). Additionally, we used the bibliography of retrieved articles to further identify relevant studies. We included all RCTs comparing hemocoagulase with control (placebo/blank) with respect to postoperative bleeding and transfusion in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. In studies which also included other comparator drugs (aprotinin, ulinastatin, tranexamic acid, etc), only data of hemocoagulase and placebo/blank groups were abstracted. Primary outcomes included postoperative blood loss, blood transfusion of red blood cells (RBC), fresh frozen plasma (FFP) and platelet concentrates (PC). Secondary outcomes include hemoglobin (Hb) level, platelet counts and functions, coagulation tests, and so on. Exclusion criteria included

- (1) studies published as review, case report, or abstract;
- (2) animal studies;
- (3) duplicate publications;
- (4) studies only comparing hemocoagulase with aprotinin, tranexamic acid, and ulinastatin;
- (5) studies lacking information about outcomes of interest.

The 2 authors (XY and NXF) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all identified studies for eligibility, excluding obviously ineligible ones. The eligibility of those remaining studies for final inclusion was further determined by reading the full text.

2.3. Study quality assessment

Risk of bias for the included trials was assessed by 2 authors (XY and NXF) independently based on the consort criteria.^[7,17] Trial

was assessed to have a "high" risk of bias if it did not record a "yes" in 3 or more of the 4 main categories; "moderate" if 2 out of 4 categories did not record a "yes" and "low" if randomization, assessor blinding, and completeness of follow-up was considered adequate. The Jadad score was also used to evaluate the methodological quality of each included trial.^[18] The Jadad scoring system (ranging from 0 to 5) includes:

- (1) randomization process;
- (2) blindedness assessment; and
- (3) reporting of withdrawals/dropouts.

Higher scores indicate excellent methodologic qualities, and lower scores suggest poor qualities.^[18]

2.4. Data abstraction

The following data were abstracted from the included studies to a data collection form by 2 authors (XY and NXF) independently:

- (1) author and year of publication;
- (2) total number of patients, number of patients in hemocoagulase and control groups;
- (3) type of surgical procedure;
- (4) data regarding outcomes of interest in both groups.

Disagreements were resolved by discussion among all authors during the process of data abstraction. The authors of the included studies were contacted if necessary.

2.5. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by utilizing RevMan 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Pooled odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated for dichotomous data, and weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% CI for continuous data, respectively. Each outcome was tested for heterogeneity, and randomized-effects or fixed-effects model was used in the presence or absence of significant heterogeneity (Qstatistical test P < .05). Sensitivity analyses were done by examining the influence of statistical model on estimated treatment effects, and analyses which adopted the fixed-effects model were repeated again by using randomized-effects model and vice versa. In addition to that, sensitivity analysis was also performed to evaluate the influence of individual study on the overall effects. Publication bias was explored through visual inspection of funnel plots of the outcomes. All P-values were 2sided and statistical significance was defined as P < .05.

3. Results

3.1. Search results

As depicted in the flow chart (Fig. 1), database search identified 26 articles for complete evaluation. Finally, 12 eligible trials (10 published literatures^[19,20,22–27,29,30] and 2 master degree dissertations^[21,28]), all written in Chinese, were included in the meta-analysis. Patients and surgery data were presented in Table 1. Out of the 12 trials, $5^{[23-25,28,29]}$ included only adult patients, $5^{[20,21,26,27,30]}$ included only pediatric patients, the other $2^{[19,22]}$ included both adult and pediatric patients. As shown in Table 1, surgical procedures varied among the 12 trials. Two RCTs^[25,28] involved patients undergoing valve replacement, 1 RCT^[23] involved only patients undergoing off-pump coronary surgery, 2 RCT ^[20,30] involved only patients undergoing



ventricular septal defect (VSD) repair, 3 RCTs^[21,24,26] included patients undergoing mixed spectrum of ventricular or atrial septal defect repair and tetralogy of Fallot or pulmonary stenosis correction, 4 RCTs^[19,22,27,29] did not specify the surgical type. The 12 eligible trials involved total 900 patients, and 510 patients were allocated into group hemocoagulase, 390 into group control. Among the 12 trials, hemocoagulase was specified as HCA in 3 trials,^[19,27,28] as batroxobin in 1 trial,^[22] as reptilase in 3 trials,^[124,26,30] 1 trial^[29] included both groups of batroxobin and reptilase, the other 4 trials^[20,21,23,25] only mentioned hemocoagulase without specification. Hemocoagulase administration protocols (eg, dosage, timing, and route) of the included trials were shown in Table 1.

3.2. Study quality

As shown in Table 2, 4 main methodological studies were assessed for quality. All 12 trials claimed randomized allocation but did not mention concealment of allocation and blinding, all 12 included trials are of moderate risk of bias. None of these trials reported sample size calculation before the trial was established. None of the included studies used intention-to-treat analysis. Five trials^[19,20,23–25] had a Jadad score of 3 and were considered as

high-quality RCTs, and the other 7 trials^[21,22,26–30] were scored at 2.

3.3. Effects on postoperative bleeding

All 12 trials^[19–30] reported bleeding volume during the first 24 hours postoperatively. As shown in Figure 2 and Table 3, all 12 trials (900 patients) evaluated the effect of hemocoagulase on blood loss in the first 24 hours postoperatively. Metaanalysis showed that, hemocoagulase significantly reduced postoperative bleeding volume (WMD=-64.82; 95% CI: -87.74 to -41.90; P < .00001) with possible publication bias (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Fig. 1, http://links. lww.com/MD/D544]).

3.4. Effects on postoperative blood transfusion

As depicted in Figure 3 and Table 3, 5 trials (303 patients)^[23,25,26,28,29] reported postoperative transfusion of RBC. Meta-analysis showed that, hemocoagulase significantly reduced postoperative RBC transfusion (WMD=-137.39; 95% CI: -213.18 to -61.60; P=.0004) without publication bias (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Fig. 2, http://links.

Table 1

Included tria	ls.														
					Repo	orted	outcon	nes							
							Post-	op trar	nsfusi	on		Coagul	ation fu	inction	
Trials	Age	Surgery	Group hemocoagulase	Group control	POB	Hb	RBC	FFP	PC	PTC	PT	APTT	TT	Fg	DD
Chen 2011 ^[19]	A, P	CPB-CS	HCA: 1U iv after AI, 1U in CPB prime (n = 60)	Saline (n=60)	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Di 2015 ^[20]	Р	VSD-R	H: 0.5U iv. after CPB $(n=25)$	Blank (n = 25)											
Han 2015 ^[21]	Ρ	VSD-, ASD-R	H: 0.3U for BW <10 kg or 0.5U for BW >10 kg, iv after CPB (n=20)	Blank (n $=$ 20)	\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark
Li 2004 ^[22]	A, P	CPB-CS	B: 1U for BW $<$ 40 kg, 2U for BW $>$ 40 kg, iv after CPB (n=27)	Blank (n $=$ 25)	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Liu 2012 ^[23]	А	OPCAB	H: 0.04 U/kg iv Bl, 2U iv after CPB, 2U iv per 2 h till EOS (n = 20)	Blank (n $=$ 20)	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark							
Tan 2011 ^[24]	А	ASD-, VSD-R, VR	R: 1.5KU iv. after AI, 1.5KU in CPB prime $(n = 60)$	Saline (n $=$ 60)	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark					
Wang 2015 ^[25]	А	VR	H: 2U iv. BI (n=20); 2U iv during CPB (n=20); 2U iv after CPB (n=20).	Saline (n=20)	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Yu 2008 ^[26]	Ρ	ASD-, VSD-R, TOF-, PS-CR	R: 2KIU iv after CPB (n = 28) 4KIU iv after CPB (n = 28)	Blank (n $=$ 28)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$							
Zhang 2012 ^[27]	Р	CPB-CS	HCA: 1U iv after CPB $(n=30)$	Saline $(n=30)$											
Zhang 2015 ^[28]	А	VR	HCA: 1U in CPB prime ($n = 25$)	Saline $(n=25)$	v					v	v	v	v	v	
Zhao 2004 ^[29]	A	CPB-CS	R: 1U iv + 1U im after CPB (n = 55) B: 1U iv +1U im. after CPB (n = 57)	Blank (n $=$ 62)									·		·
Zhou 2009 ^[30]	Ρ	VSD-R	R: 1KU iv after Al, 1 KU in CPB prime (n = 15)	Saline (n $=$ 15)	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark

A = adults, AI = anesthesia induction, APTT = activated partial thromboplastin time, ASD = atrial septal defect, B = batroxobin, BI = before incision, CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass, CR = correction, CS = cardiac surgery, DD = D-dimer, EOS = end of surgery, FFP = fresh frozen plasma, Fg = fibrinogen, H = hemocoagulase, Hb = hemoglobin, HCA = hemocoagulase agkistrodon, im = intramuscularly, iv = intravenously, P = pediatrics, PC = platelet concentrates, PLT = platelet count, POB = postoperative bleeding, PS = pulmonic stenosis, PT = prothrombin time, R = reptilase, RBC = red blood cell, TOF = tetralogy of fallot, TT = thrombin time, VR = valve replacement, VSD = ventricular septal defect.

lww.com/MD/D545]). As shown in Figure 4 and Table 3, 3 trials $(174 \text{ patients})^{[19,23,26]}$ reported postoperative FFP transfusion. Meta-analysis showed that, hemocoagulase significantly reduced postoperative FFP transfusion (WMD=-37.90; 95% CI: -57.28 to -18.51; P=.0001) without publication bias (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Fig. 3, http://links. lww.com/MD/D546]). No trials reported postoperative PC transfusion.

3.5. Effects on Hb levels

As shown in Table 3, 3 trials (364 patients)^[21,24,30] and 4 trials (448 patients)^[21,24,26,30] reported pre- and postoperative Hb level, respectively. Meta-analysis showed that, 2 groups of patients had similar Hb levels preoperatively (WMD=0.57; 95% CI: -2.43 to 3.56; P=.71), but hemocoagulase-treated patients had higher postoperative Hb level than that of controlled patients (WMD=8.00; 95% CI: 1.79-14.21; P=.01).

Table 2				
Quality ass	essment	of in	cluded	studies

Sincine		aucu staales.						
PN	GN	Groups	Randomization	Allocation concealed	Blindness	Withdrawals	Risk of bias	Jadad score
120	2	H, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	3
100	4	H, T, H + T, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	3
60	3	H, T, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
52	2	H, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
80	4	H, T, H + T, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	3
180	3	H, U, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	3
80	4	H1, H2, H3, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	3
84	3	H1, H2, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
60	2	H, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
100	4	H, U, H + U, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
174	3	H1, H2, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
30	2	H, C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Moderate	2
	PN 120 100 60 52 80 180 80 84 60 100 174 30	PN GN 120 2 100 4 60 3 52 2 80 4 180 3 80 4 84 3 60 2 100 4 174 3 30 2	PN GN Groups 120 2 H, C 100 4 H, T, H + T, C 60 3 H, T, C 52 2 H, C 180 4 H, T, H + T, C 180 3 H, U, C 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C 84 3 H1, H2, C 60 2 H, C 100 4 H, U, H + U, C 174 3 H1, H2, C 30 2 H, C	PN GN Groups Randomization 120 2 H, C Yes 100 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes 60 3 H, T, C Yes 52 2 H, C Yes 80 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes 180 3 H, U, C Yes 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C Yes 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes 60 2 H, C Yes 100 4 H, U, H + U, C Yes 100 4 H, U, H + U, C Yes 174 3 H1, H2, C Yes 30 2 H, C Yes	PN GN Groups Randomization Allocation concealed 120 2 H, C Yes No 100 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No 60 3 H, T, C Yes No 52 2 H, C Yes No 80 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No 180 3 H, U, C Yes No 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C Yes No 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No 60 2 H, C Yes No 100 4 H, U, H + U, C Yes No 174 3 H1, H2, C Yes No 30 2 H, C Yes No	PN GN Groups Randomization Allocation concealed Blindness 120 2 H, C Yes No No 100 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No No 60 3 H, T, C Yes No No 52 2 H, C Yes No No 80 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No No 180 3 H, U, C Yes No No 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C Yes No No 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C Yes No No 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No No 84 3 H1, H2, C Yes No No 100 4 H, U, H + U, C Yes No No 174 3 H1, H2, C Yes No No 30 2 H, C Yes	PN GN Groups Randomization Allocation concealed Blindness Withdrawals 120 2 H, C Yes No No Yes 100 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No No Yes 60 3 H, T, C Yes No No Yes 52 2 H, C Yes No No Yes 80 4 H, T, H + T, C Yes No No Yes 180 3 H, U, C Yes No No Yes 80 4 H1, H2, H3, C Yes No No Yes 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No No Yes 80 4 H1, H2, C Yes No No Yes 81 3 H1, H2, C Yes No No Yes 100 4 H, U, H + U, C Yes No No Yes	PNGNGroupsRandomizationAllocation concealedBlindnessWithdrawalsRisk of bias1202H, CYesNoNoYesModerate1004H, T, H + T, CYesNoNoYesModerate603H, T, CYesNoNoYesModerate522H, CYesNoNoYesModerate804H, T, H + T, CYesNoNoYesModerate1803H, U, CYesNoNoYesModerate804H1, H2, H3, CYesNoNoYesModerate804H1, H2, H3, CYesNoNoYesModerate804H1, H2, CYesNoNoYesModerate804H1, H2, CYesNoNoYesModerate813H1, H2, CYesNoNoYesModerate843H1, H2, CYesNoNoYesModerate1004H, U, H + U, CYesNoNoYesModerate1743H1, H2, CYesNoNoYesModerate302H, CYesNoNoYesModerate

C=control, GN=group number, H=hemocoagulase, PN=patient number, T=tranexamic acid, U=ulinastatin.

	Hemo	coagu	ase	C	ontro	1		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
.1.1 Adults									17
iu 2012	296	99	20	389	97	20	4.9%	-93.00 [-153.74, -32.26]	
an 2011	179	52	60	281	81	60	6.9%	-102.00 [-126.36, -77.64]	
Vang 2015(1)	460	52	20	525	79	6	4.5%	-65.00 [-132.19, 2.19]	
Vang 2015(2)	415	74	20	525	79	7	4.6%	-110.00 [-176.91, -43.09]	
Vang 2015(3)	385	58	20	525	79	7	4.7%	-140.00 [-203.80, -76.20]	
hang 2015	483	26	25	661	13	25	7.3%	-178.00 [-189.39, -166.61]	-
(hao 2004(1)	406	104	57	465	105	31	5.7%	-59.00 [-104.7713.23]	
(hao 2004(2)	400	113	55	465	105	31	5.6%	-65.00 [-112.52, -17.48]	
ubtotal (95% CI)	2008.0	1.16	277	6 M.C.E.		187	44.2%	-103.16 [-146.12, -60.21]	
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	3179.76:	Chi ² =	76.57.	df = 7 (P < 0.	00001)	: ² = 91%		
est for overall effect:	Z = 4.71	(P < 0.	00001)	(A- 4)		0.04.0103			
.1.2 Pediatrics									
2015	83	17	25	121	21	25	7.3%	-38.00 [-48.59, -27.41]	-
lan 2015	78	4	20	86	5	20	7.4%	-8.00 [-10.81, -5.19]	•
u 2008(1)	68	16	28	89	15	14	7.3%	-21.00 [-30.8411.16]	-
u 2008(2)	36	13	28	89	15	14	7.3%	-53.00 [-62.22, -43.78]	-
hang 2012	56	12	30	76	10	30	7.4%	-20.00 [-25.59, -14.41]	
hou 2009	151	18	15	180	35	15	7.0%	-29.00 [-48.92, -9.08]	
ubtotal (95% CI)			146			118	43.9%	-27.80 [-41.81, -13.78]	•
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	278.22: 0	Chi ² = 1	113.73.	df = 5 (P<0.	00001)	: ² = 96%		
est for overall effect:	Z = 3.89	(P = 0.	0001)		0.00		a la casa		
.1.3 Adults and Ped	liatrics								
hen 2011	220	20	60	261	31	60	7.3%	-41.00 [-50.33, -31.67]	
2004	357	111	27	430	131	25	4.6%	-73.00 [-139.266.74]	
ubtotal (95% CI)	1000		87			85	11.9%	-41.62 [-50.87, -32.38]	•
eterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00. Chi	2=0.8	8 df =	1(P = 0)	35):	$^{2} = 0\%$			
est for overall effect:	Z = 8.83	(P < 0.	00001)						
otal (95% CI)			510			390	100.0%	-64.82 [-87.74, -41.90]	•
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	1840.10:	Chi ² =	958.53	, df = 1	5 (P <	0.0000	1); ² = 98	3%	
est for overall effect:	Z = 5.54	(P < 0.	00001)	S					-200 -100 0 100 200
est for subaroun diffe	erences: ($Chi^2 = 1$	11.32. 0	f = 2 (P	= 0.0	03), ² =	82.3%		Favours [Hemocoagulase] Favours [Control]

Table 3

Meta-analysis of outcomes.

				Heter	ogeneity				
Outcomes	Trials (n)	Group Hemo (n)	Group Ctrl (n)	<i>ľ</i> (%)	Р	Analysis model	WMD	95% CI	Overall effect P
Post-op bleeding (mL)	12	510	390	98	<.00001	IV, Random	-64.82	-87.74, -41.90	<.00001*
Blood transfusion									
Post-op RBC (mL)	5	186	118	66	.005	IV, Random	-137.39	-213.18, -61.60	.0004*
Post-op FFP (mL)	3	101	73	39	.18	IV, Fixed	-37.90	-57.28, -18.51	.0001*
Hemoglobin									
Pre-op (g/dL)	4	207	157	52	.08	IV, Random	0.57	-2.43, 3.56	.71
Post-op (g/dL)	5	263	185	95	<.00001	IV, Random	8.00	1.79, 14.21	.01*
Heparin (mg)	3	152	102	0	.76	IV, Fixed	4.17	-4.06, 12.41	.32
Protamine (mg)	2	40	40	0	.84	IV, Fixed	-3.33	-40.94, 34.28	.86
Platelet count									
Pre-op (×10 ⁹ /L)	8	389	297	0	.87	IV, Fixed	-3.33	-7.87, 1.21	.15
Post-op (×10 ⁹ /L)	8	389	297	94	<.00001	IV, Random	26.36	9.88, 42.84	.22
PT									
Pre-op (s)	8	349	257	88	<.00001	IV, Random	-0.07	-0.40, 0.25	.66
Post-op (s)	8	349	257	41	.08	IV, Fixed	-0.73	-0.88, -0.59	<.00001
APPT									
Pre-op (s)	8	349	257	25	.21	IV, Fixed	-0.52	-0.91, -0.12	.01 *
Post-op (s)	8	349	257	89	<.00001	IV, Random	-2.96	-4.40, -1.52	<.00001
Π									
Pre-op (s)	5	202	160	57	.03	IV, Random	0.03	-0.28, 0.34	.86
Post-op (s)	5	202	160	85	<.00001	IV, Random	-0.96	-1.62, -0.30	.004
Fibrinogen									
Pre-op (mg/dL)	6	314	222	0	.96	IV, Fixed	0.01	-0.09, 0.11	.85
Post-op (mg/dL)	6	314	222	90	<.00001	IV, Random	0.23	0.01, 0.44	.04
D-dimer									
Pre-op (mg/dL)	4	120	120	0	.82	IV, Fixed	0.00	-0.02, 0.02	.99
Post-op (mg/dL)	4	120	120			IV, Random	-0.05	-0.15, 0.06	.37

95% CI=95% confidence interval, APPT=activated partial thromboplastin time, Ctrl=control, FFP=fresh frozen plasma, Hemo=hemocoagulase, IV=inverse variance, M-H=Mantel-Haenszel, Post-op=postoperative, Pr=op=preoperative, PT=prothrombin time, RBC=red blood cell, TT=thrombin time, WMD=weighted mean difference.

[₩] P<.05.

Hemocoag			280	C	ontro			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
tudy or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV. Random. 95% Cl				IV, Random, 95% CI									
2.1.2 Adults													
Liu 2012	492	290	20	970	285	20	10.6%	-478.00 [-656.20, -299.80]					
Wang 2015(1)	890	401	20	960	377	6	4.0%	-70.00 [-419.12, 279.12]					
Wang 2015(2)	850	118	20	960	377	7	5.6%	-110.00 [-394.03, 174.03]					
Wang 2015(3)	830	220	20	960	377	7	5.2%	-130.00 [-425.46, 165.46]					
Yu 2008(1)	632	180	28	691	154	14	17.1%	-59.00 [-163.65, 45.65]					
Yu 2008(2)	599	165	28	691	154	14	17.5%	-92.00 [-193.21, 9.21]					
Zhang 2015	760	220	25	920	220	25	15.3%	-160.00 [-281.96, -38.04]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			161			93	75.2%	-159.43 [-267.22, -51.64]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	11956.14	; Chi ²	= 17.27	, df = 6	(P = 0	.008);	2 = 65%		1250				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.90	(P = 0.	004)			-							
2.1.3 Pediatrics													
Di 2015	264	35	25	347	43	25	24.8%	-83.00 [-104.73, -61.27]	•				
Subtotal (95% CI)			25			25	24.8%	-83.00 [-104.73, -61.27]					
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable												
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.49	(P < 0.	00001)										
Total (95% CI)			186			118	100.0%	-137.39 [-213.18, -61.60]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	5898.05;	Chi ² =	20.32.	df = 7 (P = 0.	005); l ²	= 66%	2					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.55	(P = 0.	0004)						-500 -250 0 250 500				
Test for subaroup diffe	rences: (Chi ² = 1	.86. df	= 1 (P =	0.17), $ ^2 = 4$	6.1%		Favours [Hemocoaguiase] Favours [Control]				
			Figur	ro 3 F	oract	nlot d	of BBC t	ransfusion BBC - reduc	red hlood cells				



3.6. Effects on intraoperative coagulation

As shown in Table 3, 3 trials (254 patients)^[28–30] and 2 trials (80 patients)^[28,30] reported intraoperative heparin and protamine dosages, respectively. Meta-analysis showed that, heparin and protamine dosages in 2 groups were comparable (WMD=4.17; 95% CI: -4.06 to 12.41; P=.32 for heparin, WMD=-3.33; 95% CI: -40.94 to 34.28; P=.86 for protamine). Li et al^[22] observed the effect of hemocoagulase on activated clotting time (ACT). They reported hemocoagulase significantly shortened ACT as compared to placebo after heparin reversal by protamine ([112±15] seconds vs [132±16] seconds, P<.01), which were comparable during systemic heparinization ([796±158] seconds vs [750±209] seconds, P>.05).

3.7. Effects on platelet count and function

As shown in Table 3, 8 trials (686 patients)^[19,22,24,25,27-30] reported pre- and postoperative platelet counts. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, platelet counts in 2 groups of patients were similar both preoperatively (WMD=-3.33; 95% CI: -7.87 to 1.21; P=.15) and postoperatively (WMD=26.36; 95% CI: 9.88–42.84; P=.22). Additionally, Zhou et al^[30] investigated the impact of hemocoagulase on platelet membrane glycoproteins (GPs). Thirty pediatric patients undergoing VSD repair with CPB were randomized to receive hemocoagulase or platelets on platelets.

as manifested by lower granule membrane protein-140 level and higher GPIb level, while GPIIb/IIIa level was comparable between 2 groups perioperatively.

3.8. Effects on coagulation functions

As shown in Table 3, 8 trials (606 patients)^[19,21,22,25,27-30] reported pre- and postoperative prothrombin time (PT) values. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, preoperative PT values in 2 groups of patients were similar (WMD = -0.07; 95% CI: -0.40to 0.25; P=.66), hemocoagulase significantly shortened PT values when compared to placebo (WMD=-0.73; 95% CI: -0.88 to -0.59; P < .00001). As shown in Table 3, 8 trials (606) patients)^[19,21,22,25,27–30] reported pre- and postoperative activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) values. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, APPT values in hemocoagulase group were shorter than control group both preoperatively (WMD = -0.52; 95% CI: -0.91 to -0.12; P = .01) and postoperatively (WMD = -2.96; 95% CI: -4.40 to -1.52; P < .00001). After excluding 1 trial,^[19] Meta-analysis demonstrated that, preoperative APPT values were comparable in both groups (WMD = -0.36; 95% CI: -0.82 to 0.09; P = .12), while postoperative APPT values were shorter in hemocoagulase group than control group (WMD= -3.30; 95% CI: -4.70 to -1.89; P < .00001). Five trials (362) patients)^[19,22,25,27,28] reported pre- and postoperative thrombin time (TT), respectively. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, TT values in 2 groups of patients were similar preoperatively (WMD=0.03; 95% CI: -0.28 to 0.34; P=.86), Hemocoagulase significantly shortened TT when compared to placebo (WMD = -0.96; 95% CI: -1.62 to -0.30; P=.004). As shown in Table 3, 6 trials (536 patients)^[19,22,25,27-29] reported pre- and postoperative fibrinogen (Fg) levels. Meta-analysis demonstrated that, Fg levels in 2 groups of patients were similar preoperatively (WMD=0.01; 95% CI: -0.09 to 0.11; P=.85), hemocoagulase group had higher postoperative Fg levels than control group (WMD=0.23; 95% CI: 0.01-0.44; P=.04).

3.9. Effects on fibrionlysis

Four trials (240 patients)^[19,21,28,30] reported pre- and postoperative D-dimer (DD). Meta-analysis demonstrated that, DD levels in 2 groups of patients were similar both preoperatively (WMD= 0.00; 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.02; P=.99) and postoperatively (WMD=-0.05; 95% CI: -0.15 to 0.06; P=.37).

3.10. Adverse effects

Six trials^[22,23,25–27,29] observed possible side effects of hemocoagulase, no adverse events of anaphylactic reaction,^[22,25,29] thrombosis,^[23,25,26] cardiorespiratory dysfunction,^[26,27] or hepatorenal dysfunction^[25,27] were reported.

3.11. Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analysis showed that treatment effects on postoperative bleeding, RBC and FFP transfusion were not affected by the choice of statistical model (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Table 2, http://links.lww.com/MD/D548]). Sensitivity tests were also performed by exclusion of some studies to analyze the influence of the overall treatment effect on high heterogeneity outcomes (Supplemental Digital Content [Supplemental Table 3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D549]), but no contradictory results were found.

4. Discussion

Snake venoms contain a large number of proteins that affect the hemostatic system,^[2–6] considerable efforts have been made to develop pro- and anticoagulants from them. The snake venom TLEs comprise a number of serine proteases functionally and structurally related to thrombin.^[2–6] Hemocoagulase, the TLE isolated from venoms in several snake species, could accelerate the formation of fibrin monomers and hastens fibrin clot formation.^[2–6] Batroxobin (reptilase), the TLE from Bothrops atrox (common lancehead) venom, has anticoagulant ability by cleaving Arg16-Gly17 bond of A α -chain of Fg which spontaneously converts into loose fibrin clots.^[2–6] Since the coagulation studies on Batroxobin (reptilase) in 1957, over 40 TLEs had been isolated and characterized.^[2–6] For example, HCA is a double chain TLE obtained from Deinagkistrodon acutus (Chinese moccasin). HCA is a national class 1 drug in China and is known for its hemostatic efficacy.^[10–13,31,32]

Hemocoagulase agents (such as reptilase, batroxobin, HCA) have been widely used for the prevention and treatment of hemorrhage in non-cardiac surgeries.^[9–15,31] To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis dedicated to evaluate whether hemocoagulase could reduce blood loss and transfusion requirements in cardiac surgical patients. The present

meta-analysis suggested that, hemocoagulase-treated patients had less bleeding, reduced RBC and FFP transfusion, and higher Hb level postoperatively than those of controlled patients. The current meta-analysis also showed that, hemocoagulase did not influence intraoperative dosages of heparin and protamine and postoperative platelet counts in 2 groups of patients. Hemocoagulase-treated patients had significantly shorter postoperative PT, APTT, and TT values. Perioperative Fg level is a predictor of postoperative bleeding in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB. The current metaanalysis demonstrated that, hemocoagulase-treated patients had higher Fg level than controlled patients. Fibrinolysis activation induced by CPB is also associated with increased postoperative bleeding. DD is a measurement index for fibrinolytic activity in cardiac surgery. The current metaanalysis demonstrated that, hemocoagulase-treated patients had similar DD level when compared to controlled patients. Hemocoagulase agents have been widely used for surgical and nonsurgical bleeding, and proved to be relatively safe. However, rare but disastrous side effects of hemocoagulase (eg, severe anaphylactic reactions^[33] and hypofibronogenemia^[34]) need further investigation.

This study has some limitations. Meta-analysis can increase the power of analysis by pooling many small low-quality studies, but different administration modalities of hemocoagulase (eg, agent, dose, route, timing), varied quality and heterogeneity of the included studies, and possible biases may limit the certainty of the findings of meta-analysis. It is noteworthy that although the thrombotic event associated with Hemocoagulase was very rarely reported, it should be contraindicated in patients with venous/arterial thrombosis and a tendency for intravascular coagulation. Whether hemocoagulase agents (especially when administered early in cardiac surgical patients) increase the risk of thromboembolism is unknown. Current evidence suggested that early administration (after anesthesia induction or before surgical incision) of hemocoagulase agents was both effective and safe in noncardiac surgical patients. The rationale of using hemocoagulase agents to prevent hemorrhage in cardiac surgical patients needs further investigation. To clarify the hemostatic efficacy and safety of hemocoagulase in cardiac surgical patients, adequately-powered prospective randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblinded trials are needed.

To conclude, hemocoagulase reduces postoperative bleeding and blood transfusion requirement in cardiac surgical patients by preserving coagulation functions. To further confirm this, more welldesigned and adequately-powered randomized trials are needed.

Acknowledgments

The authors were so grateful to Miss Xiao Han, Miss Xiao-Ya Cui, and Mr Yang-Yang Zheng for their help during the process of the present study.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Yun-Tai Yao. Data curation: Xin Yuan, Neng-Xin Fang. Formal analysis: Yun-Tai Yao, Neng-Xin Fang. Investigation: Yun-Tai Yao, Xin Yuan, Neng-Xin Fang. Methodology: Yun-Tai Yao, Xin Yuan, Neng-Xin Fang. Project administration: Yun-Tai Yao. Software: Yun-Tai Yao, Xin Yuan, Neng-Xin Fang. Validation: Yun-Tai Yao.

Writing - original draft: Yun-Tai Yao, Xin Yuan.

Writing - review and editing: Yun-Tai Yao, Neng-Xin Fang.

References

- Siemens K, Sangaran DP, Hunt BJ, et al. Strategies for prevention and management of bleeding following pediatric cardiac surgery on cardiopulmonary bypass: a scoping review. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2018;19:40–7.
- [2] Serrano SM. The long road of research on snake venom serine proteinases. Toxicon 2013;62:19–26.
- [3] Castro HC, Zingali RB, Albuquerque MG, et al. Snake venom thrombinlike enzymes: from reptilase to now. Cell Mol Life Sci 2004;61:843–56.
- [4] Kornalik F. The influence of snake venom enzymes on blood coagulation. Pharmacol Ther 1985;29:353–405.
- [5] Waheed H, Moin SF, Choudhary MI. Snake venom: from deadly toxins to life-saving therapeutics. Curr Med Chem 2017;24:1874–91.
- [6] Kumar LSV. Potential use of snake venom derivatives as hemostatic agents in dentistry. Gen Dent 2016;64:e10-1.
- [7] Gupta G, Muthusekhar MR, Kumar SP. Efficacy of hemocoagulase as a topical hemostatic agent after dental extractions: a systematic review. Cureus 2018;10:e2398.
- [8] Zeng Z, Xiao P, Chen J, et al. Are batroxobin agents effective for perioperative hemorrhage in thoracic surgery? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2009;20:101–7.
- [9] Yang YQ, Chen N, Guo J, et al. Efficacy and safety of hemocoagulase on surgical incision: a systematic review. Chin J Evid-based Med 2015;15:1309–16.
- [10] Shi HK, Li XL, Lin XF, et al. Effects of hemocoagulase agkistrodon for hemostasis in surgical tresis vulnus: a meta-analysis. Chin J Pharmacoepidemiol 2015;24:274–8.
- [11] Zhu M, Cao J, Jia Z, et al. Hemocoagulase in abdominal operation and its effect on hemoagglutination. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi 2002;40:581–4.
- [12] Lu X, Yang X, Zhu M, et al. Hemostatic effect of hemocoagulase agkistrodon on surgical wound in breast cancer surgery. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao 2017;39:183–7.
- [13] Qiu M, Zhang X, Cai H, et al. The impact of hemocoagulase for improvement of coagulation and reduction of bleeding in fracture-related hip hemiarthroplasty geriatric patients: a prospective, single-blinded, randomized, controlled study. Injury 2017;48:914–9.
- [14] Nagabhushan RM, Shetty AP, Dumpa SR, et al. Effectiveness and safety of batroxobin, ttranexamic ccid and a combination in reduction of blood loss in lumbar spinal fusion surgery. Spine 2018;43:E267–73.
- [15] Wei JM, Zhu MW, Zhang ZT, et al. A multicenter, phase III trial of hemocoagulase agkistrodon: hemostasis, coagulation, and safety in patients undergoing abdominal surgery. Chin Med J 2010;123:589–93.
- [16] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- [17] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. Cochrane Bias Methods Group; Cochrane Statistical Methods GroupThe Cochrane Collabo-

ration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.

- [18] Clark HD, Wells GA, Huët C, et al. Assessing the quality of randomized trials: reliability of the Jadad scale. Control Clin Trials 1999;20:448–52.
- [19] Chen C, Wei SJ. Effects of Agkistrodon Acutus Hemocoagulase on perioperative blood coagulation in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. Eval Anal Drug-use Hosp China 2011;11:925–7.
- [20] Di Y, Ren YY, Xing JL. The hemostatic effects of combined administration of hemocoagulase and tranexamic acid in pediatric patients undergoing ventricular septal defect repair with cardiopulmonary bypass. China Prac Med 2015;10:147–8.
- [21] Han XL. Hemocoagulase in Extracorporeal Circulation in Heart Surgery Hemostatic Curative Effect Observation (Master Dissertation). Xining, Qinghai: Qinghai University, 2015.
- [22] Li YM, Ren XY, Huang YJ. The influence of hemocoagulase for injection on blood coagulation during open heart surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. J Xinjiang Med Univ 2004;27:56–8.
- [23] Liu X, Xu CS, Cui LL, et al. Blood-saving effect of combination of hemocoagulase artox for injection and tranexamic acid in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Chin J Anesthesiol 2012;32:958–60.
- [24] Tan RD, Liang RQ, Chen HM. The effect of ulinastatin and reptilase on safeguard of blood in patients undergoing cardiac surgery under extracorporeal circulation. Mod Hosp 2011;11:26–8.
- [25] Wang DL, He KQ, Wang RT, et al. Effects of agkistrodon hemocoagulase on coagulation function in patients undergoing cardiac valve replacement with cardiopulmonary bypass. Tianjin Med J 2015;43:88–92.
- [26] Yu BT, Feng JY, Zeng L, et al. Clinical application of hemocoagulase in congenital heart disease surgery. China Pharm 2008;19:618–9.
- [27] Zhang ZB, Hu HK, Xie WY. The safety and effectiveness of Agkistrodon hemocoagulase in children with congenital heart disease operation. China Med Herald 2012;9:90–1.
- [28] Zhang L. Effect of Blood Conservation of Agkistrodon Acutus Hemocoagulase and Ulinasttainin Cardiac Valve Replacement With Cardiopulmonary Bypass (Master Dissertation). Chengdu, Sichuan: Sichuan Medical University; 2015.
- [29] Zhao CL, Wei ZY, Tian MZ, et al. Hemostatic effect of hemocoagulase after cardiopulmonary bypass. Chin J Mod Oper Surg 2004;8:223–5.
- [30] Zhou XL, Wan YH, Yu BT, et al. The impact of Hemocoagulase on platelet membrane glycoproteins in patients undergoing intracardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. Pract Clin Med 2009;10:40–3.
- [31] Zhou JJ, Huang ZH, Yu JL, et al. Phase IIa clinical trail of hemocoagulase acutus for injection. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 2007;27:644–6.
- [32] Li H, Huang Y, Wu X, et al. Effects of hemocoagulase agkistrodon on the coagulation factors and its procoagulant activities. Drug Des Devel Ther 2018;12:1385–98.
- [33] Xu YY, Ma XH, Zhang SJ. Hemocoagulase agkistrodon-induced anaphylactic shock: a case report and literature review. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2016;54:129–34.
- [34] Wang Z, Li J, Cao L, et al. Hypofibrinogenemia caused by long-term administration of hemocoagulase: three cases report and literature review. Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi 2014;35:50–2.