# CHEST

Official publication of the American C ollege of Chest Physicians



### Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy for Valvular Disease : Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Richard P. Whitlock, Jack C. Sun, Stephen E. Fremes, Fraser D. Rubens and Kevin H. Teoh

Chest 2012;141;e576S-e600S DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2305

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services can be found online on the World Wide Web at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S.full.html

Supplemental material related to this article is available at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2\_suppl. e576S.DC1.html

*Chest* is the official journal of the American College of Chest Physicians. It has been published monthly since 1935. Copyright2012by the American College of Chest Physicians, 3300 Dundee Road, Northbrook, IL 60062. All rights reserved. No part of this article or PDF may be reproduced or distributed without the prior written permission of the copyright holder. (http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml) ISSN:0012-3692



Downloaded from chestjournal.chestpubs.org at Washington University on March 5, 2012 © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians



CHEST

ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY AND PREVENTION OF THROMBOSIS, 9TH ED: ACCP GUIDELINES

# Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy for Valvular Disease

### Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Richard P. Whitlock, MD; Jack C. Sun, MD; Stephen E. Fremes, MD, FCCP; Fraser D. Rubens, MD; and Kevin H. Teoh, MD

*Background:* Antithrombotic therapy in valvular disease is important to mitigate thromboembolism, but the hemorrhagic risk imposed must be considered.

*Methods:* The methods of this guideline follow those described in Methodology for the Development of Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Guidelines. Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines in this supplement.

Results: In rheumatic mitral disease, we recommend vitamin K antagonist (VKA) therapy when the left atrial diameter is >55 mm (Grade 2C) or when complicated by left atrial thrombus (Grade 1A). In candidates for percutaneous mitral valvotomy with left atrial thrombus, we recommend VKA therapy until thrombus resolution, and we recommend abandoning valvotomy if the thrombus fails to resolve (Grade 1A). In patients with patent foramen ovale (PFO) and stroke or transient ischemic attack, we recommend initial aspirin therapy (Grade 1B) and suggest substitution of VKA if recurrence (Grade 2C). In patients with cryptogenic stroke and DVT and a PFO, we recommend VKA therapy for 3 months (Grade 1B) and consideration of PFO closure (Grade 2C). We recommend against the use of anticoagulant (Grade 1C) and antiplatelet therapy (Grade 1B) for native valve endocarditis. We suggest holding VKA therapy until the patient is stabilized without neurologic complications for infective endocarditis of a prosthetic valve (Grade 2C). In the first 3 months after bioprosthetic valve implantation, we recommend aspirin for aortic valves (Grade 2C), the addition of clopidogrel to aspirin if the aortic valve is transcatheter (Grade 2C), and VKA therapy with a target international normalized ratio (INR) of 2.5 for mitral valves (Grade 2C). After 3 months, we suggest aspirin therapy (Grade 2C). We recommend early bridging of mechanical valve patients to VKA therapy with unfractionated heparin (DVT dosing) or lowmolecular-weight heparin (Grade 2C). We recommend long-term VKA therapy for all mechanical valves (Grade 1B): target INR 2.5 for aortic (Grade 1B) and 3.0 for mitral or double valve (Grade 2C). In patients with mechanical valves at low bleeding risk, we suggest the addition of low-dose aspirin (50-100 mg/d) (Grade 1B). In valve repair patients, we suggest aspirin therapy (Grade 2C). In patients with thrombosed prosthetic valve, we recommend fibrinolysis for right-sided valves and left-sided valves with thrombus area < 0.8 cm² (Grade 2C). For patients with left-sided prosthetic valve thrombosis and thrombus area  $\geq 0.8$  cm<sup>2</sup>, we recommend early surgery (Grade 2C). Conclusions: These antithrombotic guidelines provide recommendations based on the optimal balance of thrombotic and hemorrhagic risk. CHEST 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e576S-e600S

**Abbreviations:** AF = atrial fibrillation; APA = antiplatelet agent; AVR = aortic valve replacement; GRADE = Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; ICH = intracerebral hemorrhage; IE = infective endocarditis; INR = international normalized ratio; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; MAC = mitral annular calcification; MVP = mitral valve prolapse; NBTE = nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis; NYHA = New York Heart Association; OAC = oral anticoagulation; PFO = patent foramen ovale; PICO = population, intervention, comparator, and outcome; PMBV = percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy; PVE = prosthetic valve endocarditis; PVT = prosthetic valve thrombosis; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = relative risk; TEE = transsophageal echocardiography; TIA = transient ischemic attack; UFH = unfractionated heparin; VKA = vitamin K antagonist

### SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Note on Shaded Text: Throughout this guideline, shading is used within the summary of recommendations sections to indicate recommendations that are newly added or have been changed since the publication of Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Recommendations that remain unchanged are not shaded.

2.0.1. In patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease and normal sinus rhythm with a left atrial diameter < 55 mm we suggest not using antiplatelet or vitamin K antagonist (VKA) therapy (Grade 2C).

2.0.2. In patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease and normal sinus rhythm with a left atrial diameter > 55 mm, we suggest VKA therapy (target international normalized ratio [INR], 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy or antiplatelet (Grade 2C).

2.0.3. For patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease complicated by the presence of left atrial thrombus, we recommend VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

### 2.0.4. For patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease complicated singly or in combination by

DOI: 10.1378/chest.11-2305

the presence of atrial fibrillation or previous systemic embolism, we recommend VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

2.1.1. For patients being considered for percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy (PMBV) with preprocedural transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) showing left atrial thrombus, we recommend postponement of PMBV and that VKA therapy (target INR, 3.0; range, 2.5-3.5) be administered until thrombus resolution is documented by repeat TEE over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

2.1.2. For patients being considered for PMBV with preprocedural TEE showing left atrial thrombus, if the left atrial thrombus does not resolve with VKA therapy, we recommend that PMBV not be performed (Grade 1A).

6.2.1. In patients with asymptomatic patent foramen ovale (PFO) or atrial septal aneurysm, we suggest against antithrombotic therapy (Grade 2C).

6.2.2. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal aneurysm, we recommend aspirin (50-100 mg/d) over no aspirin (Grade 1A).

6.2.3. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal aneurysm, who experience recurrent events despite aspirin therapy, we suggest treatment with VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) and consideration of device closure over aspirin therapy (Grade 2C).

6.2.4. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO, with evidence of DVT, we recommend VKA therapy for 3 months (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) (Grade 1B) and consideration of device closure over no VKA therapy or aspirin therapy (Grade 2C).

**7.1.1.** In patients with infective endocarditis (IE), we recommend against routine anticoagulant therapy, unless a separate indication exists (Grade 1C).

7.1.2. In patients with IE, we recommend against routine antiplatelet therapy, unless a separate indication exists (Grade 1B).

7.2. In patients on VKA for a prosthetic valve who develop IE, we suggest VKA be discontinued at the time of initial presentation until it is clear that invasive procedures will not be required and the patient has stabilized without signs of CNS involvement. When the patient is

Revision accepted August 31, 2011.

Affiliations: From McMaster University (Drs Whitlock and Teoh), Hamilton, ON, Canada; the University of Washington School of Medicine (Dr Sun), Seattle, WA; the Sunnybrook Hospital (Dr Fremes), University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; and the Ottawa Heart Institute (Dr Rubens), Ottawa, ON, Canada.

**Funding/Support:** The Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines received support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [R13 HL104758] and Bayer Schering Pharma AG. Support in the form of educational grants was also provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb; Pfizer, Inc; Canyon Pharmaceuticals; and sanofi-aventis US.

**Disclaimer:** American College of Chest Physician guidelines are intended for general information only, are not medical advice, and do not replace professional medical care and physician advice, which always should be sought for any medical condition. The complete disclaimer for this guideline can be accessed at http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/1S.

**Correspondence to:** Richard P. Whitlock, MD, Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, David Braley Cardiac, Vascular, and Stroke Research Institute, 237 Barton St East, Room C1-114, Hamilton, ON, L8L 2X2, Canada; e-mail: richard.whitlock@phri.ca

<sup>© 2012</sup> American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians (http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml).

deemed stable without contraindications or neurologic complications, we suggest reinstitution of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

7.3. In patients with nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis and systemic or pulmonary emboli, we suggest treatment with full-dose IV unfractionated heparin (UFH) or subcutaneous lowmolecular-weight heparin (LMWH) over no anticoagulation (Grade 2C).

8.2.1. In patients with aortic bioprosthetic valves, who are in sinus rhythm and have no other indication for VKA therapy, we suggest aspirin (50-100 mg/d) over VKA therapy in the first 3 months (Grade 2C).

8.2.2. In patients with transcatheter aortic bioprosthetic valves, we suggest aspirin (50-100 mg/d) plus clopidogrel (75 mg/d) over VKA therapy and over no antiplatelet therapy in the first 3 months (Grade 2C).

8.2.3. In patients with a bioprosthetic valve in the mitral position, we suggest VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy for the first 3 months after valve insertion (Grade 2C).

8.3. In patients with bioprosthetic valves in normal sinus rhythm, we suggest aspirin therapy over no aspirin therapy after 3 months postoperative (Grade 2C).

9.1. In patients with mechanical heart valves, we suggest bridging with unfractionated heparin (UFH, prophylactic dose) or LMWH (prophylactic or therapeutic dose) over IV therapeutic UFH until stable on VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

9.2. In patients with mechanical heart valves, we recommend VKA therapy over no VKA therapy for long-term management (Grade 1B).

**9.3.1 In patients with a mechanical aortic valve,** we suggest VKA therapy with a target of **2.5** (range, **2.0-3.0**) over lower targets (Grade 2C).

**9.3.2.** In patients with a mechanical aortic valve, we recommend VKA therapy with a target of 2.5 (range 2.0-3.0) over higher targets (Grade 1B).

**9.4. In patients with a mechanical mitral valve, we suggest VKA therapy with a target of 3.0 (range, 2.5-3.5) over lower INR targets** (Grade 2C).

9.5. In patients with mechanical heart valves in both the aortic and mitral position, we sug-

gest target INR 3.0 (range 2.5-3.5) over target INR 2.5 (range 2.0-3.0) (Grade 2C).

9.6. In patients with a mechanical mitral or aortic valve at low risk of bleeding, we suggest adding over not adding an antiplatelet agent such as low-dose aspirin (50-100 mg/d) to the VKA therapy (Grade 1B).

*Remarks:* Caution should be used in patients at increased bleeding risk, such as history of GI bleeding.

9.7. For patients with mechanical aortic or mitral valves we recommend VKA over antiplatelet agents (Grade 1B).

10.1. In patients undergoing mitral valve repair with a prosthetic band in normal sinus rhythm, we suggest the use of antiplatelet therapy for the first 3 months over VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

**10.2.** In patients undergoing aortic valve repair, we suggest aspirin at 50 to 100 mg/d over VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

11.1. For patients with right-sided prosthetic valve thrombosis (PVT), in the absence of contraindications we suggest administration of fibrinolytic therapy over surgical intervention (Grade 2C).

11.2.1. For patients with left-sided PVT and large thrombus area ( $\geq$ 0.8 cm<sup>2</sup>), we suggest early surgery over fibrinolytic therapy (Grade 2C). If contraindications to surgery exist, we suggest the use of fibrinolytic therapy (Grade 2C).

11.2.2. For patients with left-sided PVT and small thrombus area (< 0.8 cm<sup>2</sup>), we suggest administration of fibrinolytic therapy over surgery. For very small, nonobstructive thrombus we suggest IV UFH accompanied by serial Doppler echocardiography to document thrombus resolution or improvement over other alternatives (Grade 2C).

Thromboembolic complications of valvular heart disease are often devastating. Antithrombotic therapy can reduce the risk of thromboembolism, but at the cost of increased bleeding. This article seeks to provide recommendations based on the optimal balance of these competing factors.

Table 1 describes the population, intervention, comparator, and outcome (PICO) elements for the questions addressed in this article and the design of the studies used to address them. We define only

#### Table 1—Structured Clinical Questions

	[	PICC	Question		
Section	Population	Interventions	Comparator	Outcome	Methodology
			Rheumatic mitral valve		
2.0.1	Normal sinus rhythm and left atrial diameter < 55 mm	Anticoagulation or antiplatelet	No anticoagulation or antiplatelet	Thromboembolism	Observational studies
2.0.2	Normal sinus rhythm and left atrial diameter >55 mm	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Thromboembolism	Observational studies
2.0.3	Presence of left atrial thrombus	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Thromboembolism	Observational studies
2.0.4	Atrial fibrillation or previous systemic embolism	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Total mortality, stroke, major bleeding event	RCT observational studies
2.1.1	Percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy in presence of left atrial thrombus	Anticoagulation prior to procedure	No anticoagulation prior to procedure	Thromboembolism	Observational studies
2.1.2	Percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy with nonresolving left atrial thrombus	PMBV	No PMBV	Thromboembolism	Expert consensus
		6.0 A	ortic atheroma and PFO		
6.2.1	Asymptomatic PFO or atrial septum aneurysm	Anticoagulation or antiplatelet	No anticoagulation or antiplatelet	Stroke	Observational studies
6.2.2	Stroke in the presence of PFO	Antiplatelet	No antiplatelet	Recurrent stroke or death	RCT subgroup data
6.2.3	Recurrent stroke and PFO	Anticoagulation	Antiplatelet	Recurrent stroke	Observational studies
6.2.4	PFO in presence of DVT	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Recurrent stoke, pulmonary embolism, mortality	RCT (indirect)
	~		7.0 Endocarditis		~1 · 1 · 1
7.1.1	Infective endocarditis	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Thromboembolism, intracerebral bleed	Observational studies
7.1.2	Infective endocarditis	Antiplatelet	No antiplatelet	Thromboembolism, mortality, major bleed	RCT
7.2	Prosthetic valve endocarditis	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Thromboembolism, bleeding	Observational studies
7.3	Nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis with prior embolism	Anticoagulation	No anticoagulation	Recurrent embolism	Observational studies
			ioprosthetic heart valves		
8.2.1	Aortic bioprosthesis with normal sinus rhythm	Anticoagulation for first 3 mo	Antiplatelet for first 3 mo	Thromboembolism, mortality, major bleeding event	RCT
8.2.2	Transcatheter aortic bioprosthesis with normal sinus rhythm	Anticoagulation in first 3 mo	Antiplatelet for first 3 mo	Thromboembolism, major bleeding event	Observational studies
8.2.3	Mitral bioprosthesis with normal sinus rhythm	Anticoagulation in first 3 mo	No anticoagulation for first 3 mo	Thromboembolism, major bleeding event	Observational studies
					(Continued

Table	1—Continued
-------	-------------

		PICO	Question		
Section	Population	Interventions	Comparator	Outcome	Methodology
		9.0 M	lechanical heart valves		
9.1	Mechanical heart valves early postoperative (day 0-5)	UFH or LMWH (DVT dosing)	IV therapeutic UFH	Thromboembolism, bleeding	Observational studies
9.2	Mechanical heart valves	Long-term anticoagulation	No long-term anticoagulation	Thromboembolism, valve thrombosis	Meta-analysis of observational data
9.3.1	Mechanical aortic valve	Conventional INR target (2.0-3.0)	Lower INR targets	Thromboembolism, bleeding	RCT
9.3.2	Mechanical aortic valve	Conventional INR target (2.0-3.0)	Higher INR targets	Thromboembolism, major bleeding event, mortality	RCT
9.4	Mechanical mitral valve	Conventional INR target (2.5-3.5)	Lower INR targets	Thromboembolism, major bleeding event, mortality	RCT
9.5	Mechanical aortic and mitral valve	INR target 2.5-3.5	INR target 2.0 to 3.0	Mortality	RCT
9.6	Mechanical heart valves	Antiplatelet in addition to anticoagulation	Anticoagulation alone	Thromboembolism, mortality, valve thrombosis, major bleeding event	Meta-analysis of RCTs
			0 Heart valve repair		
10.1	Mitral valve repair with prosthetic band	Antiplatelet	Anticoagulation	Thromboembolism, valve thrombosis	Observational studies
10.2	Aortic valve repair	Antiplatelet	Anticoagulation	Thromboembolism, bleeding	Observational studies
		11.0 Pro	osthetic valve thrombosis	0	
11.1	Right-sided prosthetic valve thrombosis	Fibrinolytic therapy	Surgical intervention	Mortality, hemodynamic success, thromboembolism, bleeding, recurrence of obstruction	Observational studies
11.2.1	Left-sided prosthetic valve thrombosis with thrombus≥0.8 cm <sup>2</sup>	Fibrinolytic therapy	Surgical intervention	Mortality, hemodynamic success, thromboembolism, bleeding, recurrence of obstruction	Observational studies
11.2.2	Left-sided prosthetic valve thrombosis with thrombus < 0.8 cm <sup>2</sup>	Fibrinolytic therapy	Surgical intervention	Mortality, hemodynamic success, thromboembolism, bleeding, recurrence of obstruction	Observational studies

INR = international normalized ratio; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; PFO = patent foramen ovale; PICO = population, intervention, comparator, and outcome; PMBV = percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy; RCT = randomized controlled trial; UFH = unfractionated heparin.

patient characteristics relevant to our questions. This article does not make recommendations specific to atrial fibrillation (AF); for this issue, we direct you to the article by You et al<sup>1</sup> on AF in this supplement. In areas of overlap with the AF article, where newer anticoagulants such as dabigatran may be considered for nonvalvular AF, caution must be used when extrapolating their use to the populations described in this article. This article continues to consider vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) as the first-line oral anticoagulant until evidence of efficacy and safety within the valve population is generated. For recommendations on the management of parenteral anticoagulation (dosing and monitoring), oral anticoagulation (dosing and monitoring), and bleeding complications, please refer to the article by Holbrook et al<sup>2</sup> about management of anticoagulation in this guideline. Finally, there

Antithrombotic Therapy for Valvular Disease

are very few data directly addressing the antithrombotic management of right-sided prosthetic valves. Indirect evidence from mitral and aortic valves provides the best evidence and the basis for recommendations regarding tricuspid and pulmonic prostheses.

### 1.0 Methods

The development of the current recommendations followed the general approach of Methodology for the Development of Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Guidelines. Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines.<sup>3</sup> In brief, literature searches to update the existing database from the AT8 guidelines were performed (January 1, 2005 to October 2009). The literature was rated according to the Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. The panel considered quality of information, balance of risk and harm, and patients' values and preferences to determine the strength of recommendation.

In making recommendations, we have taken a *primum non nocere* approach, placing the burden of proof with those who would claim a benefit of treatment. In other words, when there is uncertain benefit and an appreciable probability of important harm associated with treatment, we recommend against such treatments.

The value given to the harmful effect of an extracranial bleeding event (as compared with that of valve thrombosis, peripheral thromboembolism, or stroke) greatly impacts the balance of benefits and harms of a given therapy. There are limited data to guide us with respect to the relative value of these outcomes. For this article, we used the result of the preference-weighting exercise carried out by MacLean et al<sup>4</sup> as part of these guidelines, which attributes approximately three times the disutility (aversiveness, negative weight) to a stroke vs an extracranial bleeding event; a valve thrombosis carries slightly greater disutility than an extracranial bleeding event.

### 2.0 Rheumatic Mitral Valve Disease

Rheumatic mitral valve disease carries the greatest risk of systemic thromboembolism of any common form of acquired valvular disease. Wood<sup>5</sup> cited a prevalence of systemic emboli of 9% to 14% in several large early series of patients with mitral stenosis. In 1961, Ellis and Harken<sup>6</sup> reported that 27% of 1,500 patients undergoing surgical mitral valvotomy had a history of clinically detectable systemic emboli. Among 754 patients followed up for 5,833 patient-years, Szekely<sup>7</sup> observed an incidence of emboli of 1.5% per year, whereas the number was found to vary from 1.5% to 4.7% per year preoperatively in six reports of rheumatic mitral valve disease.<sup>8</sup> Although the risk may increase in the elderly and those with lower cardiac indices,<sup>9-12</sup> these findings have been inconsistent across studies.<sup>5,13-21</sup> Other characteristics that may increase the risk of systemic embolism include the presence of a left atrial thrombus and significant aortic regurgitation.<sup>22</sup>

The relationship between thromboembolism and left atrial size remains unclear. Early studies<sup>5,13,14</sup> of rheumatic mitral valve disease reported a weak correlation. However, several studies have now demonstrated an association between larger left atrial size and left atrial thrombus or spontaneous echocardiographic contrast.<sup>15-17</sup>

In those patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease who suffer a first embolus, recurrent emboli occur frequently (one-third to two-thirds of cases) and early (two-thirds within the first year).5,23-25 A hypercoagulable state in mitral stenosis might contribute to the risk of thromboembolism.<sup>26,27</sup> No randomized trial has been completed in this population, but observational data suggest that the risk of recurrent emboli may be reduced by VKA therapy. Szekely<sup>7</sup> found a recurrence rate of 9.6%/y with no anticoagulation and 3.4%/y with warfarin (relative risk [RR], 0.36; 95% CI, 0.08-1.6). Similar estimates have been reported by others.<sup>14,28</sup> Among patients with mitral stenosis and left atrial thrombus on transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), VKA therapy results in a 62% thrombus disappearance over an average of 34 months.<sup>29</sup>

The onset of AF increases the risk of systemic embolization in patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease.<sup>7,13</sup> As in those with recurrent embolism, observational studies suggest a large decrease in risk with warfarin administration.<sup>13,30</sup> Indirect evidence from randomized trials in nonvalvular AF provide further support for the impact of warfarin in the prevention of thromboembolism in patients with rheumatic mitral valve with AF.

Recommendations

2.0.1. In patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease and normal sinus rhythm with a left atrial diameter < 55 mm, we suggest not using antiplatelet or VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

2.0.2. In patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease and normal sinus rhythm with a left atrial diameter > 55 mm, we suggest VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy or antiplatelet (Grade 2C).

2.0.3. For patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease complicated singly or in combination by the presence of left atrial thrombus, we recommend VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

2.0.4. For patients with rheumatic mitral valve disease complicated singly or in combination by the presence of AF or previous systemic embolism, we recommend VKA therapy (target

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e581S

### INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

### 2.1 Patients With Rheumatic Mitral Valve Disease Undergoing Percutaneous Mitral Balloon Valvotomy

During the percutaneous mitral balloon valvotomy (PMBV) procedure, when the catheter is pushed through the septum it often goes into the left atrial appendage, the usual site of thrombus. Thus, the presence of left atrial thrombus precludes PMBV. Accurate detection of thrombus requires a transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE). Silaruks et al<sup>31</sup> have demonstrated that 24.2% of left atrial thrombi will resolve within 6 months of anticoagulation. Further, Kang et al<sup>32</sup> have demonstrated that after thrombus resolution, PMBV can be safely performed. Predictors of thrombus resolution are New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II or better, left atrial appendage thrombus size  $\leq 1.6 \text{ cm}^2$ , less dense spontaneous echocardiographic contrast, and an  $INR \ge 2.5$ . Patients with all of these predictors had a 94.4% chance of complete thrombus resolution at 6 months.31

In those patients without left atrial thrombus and no other indication for anticoagulation, Abraham et al<sup>33</sup> demonstrated PMBV can be performed in the absence of anticoagulation, with no patients in 629 procedures performed having an embolism within 3 months post procedure.

### Recommendations

2.1.1. For patients being considered for PMBV with preprocedural TEE showing left atrial thrombus, we recommend postponement of PMBV and that VKA therapy (target INR, 3.0; range, 2.5-3.5) be administered until thrombus resolution is documented by repeat TEE over no VKA therapy (Grade 1A).

2.1.2. For patients being considered for PMBV with preprocedural TEE showing left atrial thrombus, if the left atrial thrombus does not resolve with VKA therapy, we recommend that PMBV not be performed (Grade 1A).

### 3.0 MITRAL VALVE PROLAPSE AND MITRAL VALVE STRANDS

Mitral valve prolapse (MVP) is a common congenital form of valve disease. Although early evidence from case series and control studies suggested an association with stroke,<sup>34.40</sup> Gilon et al<sup>41</sup> and the Framingham Heart Study<sup>42</sup> failed to replicate the results. More recently, Avierinos et al<sup>43</sup> found that people with MVP had an excess lifetime risk of stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) (RR, 2.2; P < .001). Thus, it is as yet unclear whether MVP truly increased the risk of thromboembolic process. Mitral valve strands, also known as Lambl's excrescences, have also been implicated as a potential embolic source, but they do not seem to increase the risk of stroke recurrence.<sup>44</sup> We therefore suggest that patients with MVP or strands who have not experienced systemic embolism, unexplained TIAs, or ischemic stroke, and do not have evident vascular disease should be managed as other patients considering primary prevention of vascular events. Given the risk of bleeding complications with anticoagulation and the lack of data to demonstrate a benefit in terms of reducing (recurrent) thromboembolic events, patients with MVP or strands and a history of ischemic stroke or TIA should be treated with antiplatelet agents following the recommendations by Landsberg et al<sup>45</sup> for patients with noncardioembolic stroke. In those patients with MVP or strands who have recurrent thromboembolic events despite antiplatelet agent (APA) therapy, the likelihood of a cardiac source increases.

### 4.0 MITRAL ANNULAR CALCIFICATION

Mitral annular calcification (MAC), like MVP, may be a source of cardioembolic stroke. The best estimate of the embolic potential of MAC comes from the Framingham Heart Study.<sup>46</sup> Among 1,159 individuals with no history of stroke at the index echocardiographic examination, the risk of stroke in those with MAC was 2.1 times greater than those without MAC (5.1% without MAC vs 13.8% with MAC, P = .006), independent of traditional risk factors for stroke. There was a continuous relationship between the risk of stroke and the severity of the MAC. A major issue in this condition is that emboli may represent thrombus or calcific spicules, the latter of which antithrombotic therapy will not prevent.<sup>46-48</sup> From the available literature, we suggest that patients with MAC who have not experienced systemic embolism, unexplained TIAs, or ischemic stroke, and do not have evident vascular disease should be managed as other patients considering primary prevention of vascular events. It would be reasonable to manage patients with MAC and evidence of thromboembolic process with no other identifiable source as patients with TIAs without MAC.<sup>45</sup> Failure of this antithrombotic therapy or evidence of multiple calcific emboli should prompt consideration of valve replacement.

Antithrombotic Therapy for Valvular Disease

### 5.0 Calcified Aortic Valve

Clinically significant systemic emboli in isolated aortic valve disease are uncommon. A lack of association between aortic valve calcification and clinical emboli has been supported by several studies.<sup>49-51</sup> Thus, in the absence of other indications, antithrombotic therapy does not have a role in calcified aortic valve disease.

### 6.0 Aortic Atheroma and Patent Foramen Ovale

### 6.1 Atherosclerotic Plaque of the Proximal Aorta

The presence of aortic plaque is associated with stroke risk.<sup>52,53</sup> In a TEE substudy of the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation (SPAF) trial, the risk of stroke at 1 year in patients with AF with complex aortic plaque was 12% to 20% vs 1.2% if no plaque was observed.<sup>54</sup> Cohen et al<sup>55</sup> demonstrated that aortic plaques > 4 mm in thickness increased the risk of vascular events, and this risk was further increased by lack of plaque calcification (RR = 10.3; 95% CI, 4.2-25.2). There are no randomized trials assessing the effectiveness of anticoagulation therapy for the prevention of ischemic embolic events in patients with aortic plaque.

Ferrari et al<sup>56</sup> examined the effects of antithrombotic therapy in an observational study of 129 patients with aortic atheroma on TEE. They found that patients treated with APAs rather than VKAs had more combined vascular events and a higher mortality rate (RR = 5.9; 95% CI, 1.4-15). However, Tunick et al<sup>57</sup> reported that antithrombotic therapy did not significantly reduce recurrent events results in 519 patients with severe aortic plaque ( $\geq 4$  mm) identified during TEE evaluation for embolic events.

There is currently insufficient evidence to support the use of antithrombotic therapy for the prevention of ischemic events in patients with severe thoracic aortic atheroma.<sup>58</sup> We, therefore, suggest that patients with aortic atheroma who have not experienced systemic embolism, unexplained TIAs, or ischemic stroke, and do not have evident vascular disease should be managed as other patients considering primary prevention of vascular events.<sup>45</sup> Patients with atherosclerotic aorta and evidence of thromboembolic process with no other identifiable source should be managed as those with TIAs and no atheromatous disease.<sup>45</sup>

### 6.2 Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Aneurysm

In patients with patent foramen ovale (PFO) and atrial septal aneurysm who suffer an ischemic stroke, the source is unclear in approximately 40%.<sup>59</sup> The

interatrial septum has received considerable attention as a possible source of cryptogenic stroke. Paradoxical embolism through a PFO is well described, and atrial septal aneurysm with thrombus has been demonstrated.<sup>60</sup> However, PFO and septal aneurysm are weak risk factors for stroke.

Patient characteristics that have been associated with ischemic stroke in PFO include larger-sized PFO, hemodynamic states that result in right atrial pressure overload with right-to-left shunting, hypercoagulability, the presence of eustachian valve, Chiari network, and atrial septal aneuryms.<sup>59,61</sup> More recent studies<sup>62,63</sup> from Olmsted County, Minnesota and the Stroke Prevention: Assessment of Risk in a Community (SPARC) study have suggested that after adjusting for age and other comorbidities associated with stroke, PFO is not an independent risk factor for stroke. This may be a function of how PFOs are detected. TEE with saline contrast injection is the diagnostic technique of choice for demonstrating a PFO.64 However, since the sensitivity of saline contrast TEE is greater than that of transthoracic echocardiography, whether smaller PFOs identified only by TEE are clinically relevant remains uncertain.

Mas et al<sup>65</sup> suggest that patients with both a PFO and atrial septal aneurysm who have had cryptogenic ischemic stroke are at particularly high risk for recurrence. At 4 years of follow-up, the risk of recurrent stroke in the presence of an isolated PFO was 2.3% (95% CI, 0.3%-4.3%), 15.2% (95% CI, 1.8%-28.6%) among the patients with both PFO and atrial septal aneurysm, and 4.2% (95% CI, 1.8%-6.6%) among the patients with neither of these abnormalities. All patients within this study received aspirin, with no comparator group. Therefore, conclusions on appropriate antithrombotic therapy vs no antithrombotic therapy are currently not possible. Patients with evidence of thromboembolic process and no other identifiable source should be managed as those with cryptogenic TIA or stroke.45

Homma et al<sup>66</sup> reported on the subgroup of 203 patients with PFO in the Warfarin-Aspirin Recurrent Stroke Study (Table 2, Table S1). (Tables that contain an "S" before the number denote supplementary tables not contained in the body of the article and available instead in an online data supplement. See the "Acknowledgments" for more information.) For the outcome of stroke or death at 2 years, the results neither establish nor exclude a difference between aspirin therapy and VKA therapy (RR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.41-1.55).

In patients with cryptogenic systemic thromboembolism, the demonstration of right-to-left shunting through a PFO warrants a search for DVT. Evidence for venous thrombosis (or pulmonary embolism) together with systemic embolism and a PFO

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e583S

 Table 2—[Section 6.2.2] Summary of Findings: Aspirin vs Warfarin for the Prevention of Recurrent Stroke or Death in Patients With PFO<sup>61</sup>

				Anticipat	ted Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With ASA (95% CI)
Recurrent stroke or death; clinical	203 (1 study) 2 y	Moderateª due to imprecision	RR, 0.8 (0.41-1.55)	165 per 1,000	33 Fewer per 1,000 (from 97 fewer to 91 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. GRADE = Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; RR = relative risk; VKA = vitamin K antagonist. \*Wide CIs for effect estimates.

provides a strong indication for anticoagulation, and when technically feasible, closure of the PFO. There are several ongoing trials of device closure which will better inform this area in the near future.

### Recommendations

6.2.1. In patients with asymptomatic PFO or atrial septal aneurysm, we suggest against anti-thrombotic therapy (Grade 2C).

6.2.2. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal aneurysm, we recommend aspirin (50-100 mg/d) over no aspirin (Grade 1A).

6.2.3. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal aneurysm, who experience recurrent events despite aspirin therapy, we suggest treatment with VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) and consideration of device closure over aspirin therapy (Grade 2C).

6.2.4. In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO, with evidence of DVT, we recommend VKA therapy for 3 months (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) (Grade 1B) and consideration of device closure over no VKA therapy or aspirin therapy (Grade 2C).

### 7.0 Endocarditis

### 7.1 Native Valve Endocarditis: Role of Anticoagulants and Antiplatelet Agents

Native valve infective endocarditis (IE) is a serious infectious entity, the morbidity of which is primarily related to the consequences of systemic embolism from valve vegetations. The risk of embolization is proportional to the size of the vegetation and the type of organism (eg, *Staphylococcus aureus* increases risk).<sup>67,68</sup> The majority of clinically apparent emboli from left-sided lesions involve the CNS resulting in

catastrophic stroke. The incidence of pulmonary emboli in right-sided endocarditis is also high, and this complication may contribute to significant respiratory complications, including lung abscess and pulmonary hypertension.<sup>69</sup>

Antibiotics are the most important medical therapy to decrease the incidence of emboli from native bacterial endocarditis. Whereas in the preantibiotic era, clinically detectable emboli occurred in 70% to 97% of patients, since that time the prevalence has ranged between 12% and 40%.<sup>69-73</sup> Further, the incidence of embolic complications, highest at the onset of disease, falls precipitously after 2 weeks of appropriate antibiotic therapy, from approximately 15 embolic events per 1,000 patient-days to fewer than two events per 1,000 patient-days.<sup>69</sup>

The use of anticoagulant therapy in IE was initially introduced as a mechanism to improve the penetration of antibiotics into infected vegetations.75 When closely examined, the effect of anticoagulants on the incidence of embolism was not evident.76 In a retrospective study of 61 patients with native valve endocarditis, Paschalis et al<sup>77</sup> reported that 18 patients suffered embolic neurologic complications. The incidence of embolism was the same with and without anticoagulation. Subsequent reports have demonstrated that patients treated with anticoagulant therapy were at significant risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Thill et al<sup>78</sup> described 22 patients taking combined penicillin and dicumarol with a high incidence of fatal cerebral hemorrhage. Other groups have reported an alarming incidence of cerebral hemorrhage.79-83

A trial of 115 patients with IE who were randomized to aspirin treatment reported the effect of aspirin therapy on the risk of embolic events in IE (n = 60, 325 mg/d) or placebo (n = 55) for 4 weeks (Table 3, Table S2).<sup>84</sup> The addition of aspirin did not reduce the risk of embolic events, with 17 (28.3%) such events in the aspirin group vs 11 (20.0%) in the placebo group (OR, 1.62; 95% CI,

				Anticipated Absolute Effects	
Outcomes	No of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Agents (95% CI)
Mortality	114 (1 study)	Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecision	OR, 0.58 (0.16-2.19)	109 per 1,000	43 fewer per 1,000 (from 90 fewer to 102 more)
Thromboembolism including stroke; clinical examination, CT scan	114 (1 study)	See comment	OR, 1.62 (0.62-3.86)	200 per 1,000	88 more per 1,000 (from 66 fewer to 291 more)
Major hemorrhage; well defined <sup>b</sup>	114 (1 study)	Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecision	OR, 1.92 (0.76-4.86)	55 per 1,000	45 more per 1,000 (from 13 fewer to 164 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviation.

aWide confidence intervals for effect estimates

<sup>b</sup>Intracranial bleeding, overt bleeding resulting in a decrease in hemoglobin  $\geq$  20 g/L or requiring blood transfusion, and bleeding into a confined space, which can cause severe morbidity, such as pericardial hematoma or paraspinal hematoma.

0.68-3.86). These data provide moderate-quality evidence (wide CI includes benefit from aspirin) that there is no role for APA therapy in IE unless another indication exists.

In summary, there is no convincing evidence that prophylactic anticoagulant therapy reduces the incidence of emboli in this disorder. The evidence to date further suggests that anticoagulant therapy in this setting increases the rate of neurologic complications related to cerebral hemorrhage.

### Recommendations

7.1.1. In patients with IE, we recommend against routine anticoagulant therapy, unless a separate indication exists (Grade 1C).

# 7.1.2. In patients with IE, we recommend against routine antiplatelet therapy, unless a separate indication exists (Grade 1B).

### 7.2 Role of Anticoagulants in Prosthetic Valve Endocarditis

The risk of thromboembolic events in prosthetic valve endocarditis (PVE) is higher than that in native valve endocarditis, with reports of rates between 50% and 88% of patients.<sup>71,73,85,86</sup> Antimicrobial therapy remains the mainstay of embolization prevention; delay in therapy is related to the frequency of embolic stroke within 3 days of diagnosis.<sup>87</sup>

Only one article has reported benefit of antithrombotic therapy in PVE. In an observational study, Wilson et al<sup>86</sup> described CNS complications in only three of 38 patients with PVE who received adequate anticoagulant therapy compared with 10 of 14 patients who received either inadequate or no anticoagulation. The majority of studies suggest the risk of continuing anticoagulation in this disorder outweighs the potential benefits. In another observational study, Yeh et al<sup>88</sup> reported that therapeutic anticoagulation not only failed to control emboli in PVE, but the risk of bleeding appeared to be greater among patients with infected prostheses. Others have published similar observational results.<sup>73,89</sup> Some authors continue to suggest that anticoagulant therapy should be continued in patients with PVE, <sup>72,85,86</sup> whereas others do not.<sup>69,73</sup>

In conclusion, the use of anticoagulants in PVE must steer a path between the potential for thromboembolism and the risk of serious bleeding, including ICH. Although one might expect that the incidence of thromboembolism will be reduced by anticoagulant therapy, there is no evidence that embolic vegetations are controlled by this therapy. Further, the consequences of ICH may be irreversible and not infrequently fatal. Embolic events in PVE may represent dislodged vegetations or, alternatively, true thromboembolism unrelated to the valve infection.

### Recommendation

7.2. In patients on VKA for a prosthetic valve who develop IE, we suggest VKA be discontinued at the time of initial presentation until it is clear that invasive procedures will not be required and the patient has stabilized without signs of CNS involvement. When the patient is deemed stable without contraindications or neurologic complications, we suggest reinstitution of VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

www.chestpubs.org

CHEST / 141 / 2 / FEBRUARY, 2012 SUPPLEMENT e585S

### 7.3 Nonbacterial Thrombotic Endocarditis

The clinical picture of nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis (NBTE) has been well characterized by Lopez et al.<sup>90</sup> These lesions generally are rounded, sessile, >3 mm, and heterogeneous in shape compared with excrescences, which are smaller (<2 mm) and sometimes elongated. Excrescences are seen exclusively near leaflet closure lines.

Although most authors agree that key principle for dealing with NBTE is to control underlying disease, anticoagulants were recommended due to the general belief that Trousseau syndrome and NBTE represent a continuum and that disseminated intravascular coagulation is the basis for treating most patients with this disorder.<sup>91</sup> In support of anticoagulant therapy, recurrent thromboembolic complications have been reported after heparin therapy was discontinued, although little apparent benefit has been observed with VKA therapy.<sup>90-92</sup>

### Recommendation

7.3. In patients with NBTE and systemic or pulmonary emboli, we suggest treatment with fulldose IV UFH or subcutaneous LMWH over no anticoagulation (Grade 2C).

#### 8.0 BIOPROSTHETIC HEART VALVES

### 8.1 Early Postoperative Bridging to Intermediate/ Long-term Therapy (Postoperative Day 0 to 5)

There are no studies examining early bridging therapy such as UFH or LMWH prior to antiplatelet therapy or VKA initiation in the bioprosthetic valve population. Therefore, we are currently unable to make recommendations on this topic.

### 8.2 Antithrombotic Therapy in the First 3 Months After Surgery

The first 3 months after valve implantation are a high-risk period for thromboembolic events, particularly in the mitral valve population.<sup>93,94</sup> Because the risk of a thromboembolic event varies by valve location, we have generated separate evidence profiles by this division.

8.2.1 Aortic Bioprosthesis: Evidence comparing VKA to no VKA is available from observational studies with a focus on stroke and major hemorrhage. The quality of the evidence is low due to study limitations and imprecision (Table 4, Table S3).<sup>95,96</sup> Moinuddeen et al<sup>95</sup> failed to demonstrate or exclude an effect of oral anticoagulation therapy on stroke (RR, 1.1; 95% CI, 0.38-3.28). Blair et al<sup>96</sup> demonstrated a trend toward increased risk of major hemorrhage but failed to establish or refute effect on thrombosis. Indirect supporting evidence that VKA therapy leads to an increased risk of hemorrhage compared with aspirin or no therapy also comes from studies in patients with AF.<sup>1</sup>

Two randomized trials have compared antiplatelet therapy with VKA for the initial antithrombotic management of patients with bioprosthetic heart valves (Table 5, Table S4).<sup>97,98</sup> Aramendi et al<sup>97</sup> randomized 191 patients to either antiplatelet therapy with triflusal 600mg/d (an antiplatelet agent similar to

 Table 4—[Section 8.2.1] Summary of Findings: Effect of VKA Therapy on Stroke and Major Bleeding in the First 3 mo

 After Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve Implantation<sup>90,91</sup>

				Anticipated Absolute Effects	
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo (95% CI)
Stroke; chart review, patient interview <sup>a</sup>	185 (1 study <sup>b</sup> ) 3 mo <sup>c</sup>	Low <sup>d,e</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 1.1 (0.38-3.28)	66 per 1,000	7 more per 1,000 (from 41 fewer to 150 more)
Major hemorrhage; chart review and patient interview	239 (1 study <sup>f</sup> ) 3 mo	Low <sup>d.e</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 5.12 (0.58-45.16)	7 per 1,000	30 more per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 325 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

aIncluded new transient or permanent focal or global neurologic deficits.

<sup>b</sup>Moinuddeen et al.<sup>95</sup>

°Follow-up longer, mean not reported; 3 mo data used.

<sup>d</sup>Retrospective study: allocation by surgeon clinical choice, event ascertainment/adjudication not blinded to therapy received.

°CI includes values suggesting appreciable harm and values suggesting appreciable benefit.

fBlair et al.96

### Table 5—[Section 8.2.1] Summary of Findings: Antiplatelet vs VKA in the First 3 mo After Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve Replacement<sup>92</sup>

				Anticipate	ed Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Agent (95% CI)
Mortality; clinical follow-up	69 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 3 mo	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, $1.03 (0.15 \text{ to } 6.9)$	57 per 1,000	2 more per 1,000 (from 49 fewer to 337 more)
Major hemorrhage	260 (2 studies <sup>a,d</sup> ) 3-6 mo	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.46 (0 to $1.6$ ) <sup>e</sup>	69 per 1,000	37 fewer per 1,000 (from 69 fewer to 42 more)
Thromboembolism	191 (1 study <sup>d</sup> ) 6 mo	Low <sup>b,c,f</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	RR, 1.98 (0.51 to 7.68)	32 per 1,000	31 more per 1,000 (from 15 fewer to 211 more)
Stroke; clinical follow-up	260 (2 studies <sup>a,d</sup> ) 3-6 mo	Low <sup>b,c,f</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	RR, 1.52 (0.28 to 2.76)	31 per 1,000	16 more per 1,000 (from 22 fewer to 54 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Colli et al.<sup>98</sup>

<sup>b</sup>Patient and clinical care providers not blinded, allocation concealed, event adjudicators were the investigators who were blinded to treatment. <sup>c</sup>CI includes values suggesting appreciable harm and values suggesting appreciable benefit.

dAramendi et al.97

<sup>e</sup>Aramendi and Colli meta-analyzed, fixed effects model.

<sup>f</sup>Group mitral and aortic valves together (Aramendi).

aspirin that irreversibly inhibits cyclooxygenase) or acenocoumarol (target INR range, 2.0-3.0) using an open-label design. The study included patients with aortic (93.8%) and mitral (5.2%) bioprosthetic valves and is thus most applicable to patients with aortic valve prostheses. Study treatments were started within 48 h of surgery and were continued for 3 months with follow-up to 180 days. The primary outcome was the composite of thromboembolism, hemorrhage, and valve-related death. Results failed to demonstrate or exclude a beneficial effect or detrimental effect on the primary efficacy outcome (RR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.38-2.09) or treatment-related bleeding (RR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.13-1.92).

Colli et al<sup>98</sup> performed a pilot randomized trial of 75 aortic valve patients who received either warfarin (n = 34) or aspirin (n = 35) therapy. The warfarin group, starting on postoperative day 1, received VTE prophylaxis-dose LMWH, and warfarin was started on day 2. Warfarin was dosed to reach an INR of 2.0 to 3.0. This was continued for 3 months. The aspirin group also received VTE prophylaxis-dose LMWH starting on postoperative day 1 but were then given 100 mg daily of aspirin for 3 months. Given the size of the study, there are predictably no differences in the main outcomes of cerebral ischemic events, bleeding, and death. These studies were not blinded

and reported few events. Therefore, the quality of this evidence is low.

### Recommendation

8.2.1. In patients with aortic bioprosthetic valves, who are in sinus rhythm and have no other indication for VKA therapy, we suggest aspirin (50-100 mg/d) over VKA therapy in the first 3 months (Grade 2C).

8.2.2 Transcatheter Aortic Value Bioprosthesis: Transcatheter aortic bioprosthesis is a new technology that compresses a tissue value onto an expandable balloon, permitting placement without the traditional open-chest approach. The first implant in a human was in 2002.<sup>99</sup> There are no studies that compare antithrombotic strategies for these values. The approach that has been adopted is an extension of the therapy used in coronary stenting: clopidogrel and aspirin for 3 to 6 months, followed by long-term aspirin therapy.<sup>100,101</sup> Further studies addressing antithrombotic management are required.

### Recommendation

8.2.2. In patients with transcatheter aortic bioprosthetic valves, we suggest aspirin (50-100 mg/d)

### Table 6—[Section 8.2.3] Summary of Findings: Effect of Anticoagulation on Thromboembolism and Major Bleeding in First 3 mo After Bioprosthetic Mitral Valve Implantation<sup>88,91</sup>

				Antic	Anticipated Absolute Effects	
Outcomes	No of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo (95% CI)	
Thromboembolismª; protocol definition <sup>b</sup>	326 (1 study) 3 mo <sup>c</sup>	Low <sup>d,e</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.31 (0.09-1)	87 per 1,000	60 fewer per 1,000 (from 79 fewer to 0 more)	
Major hemorrhage; chart review and patient interview	239 (1 study <sup>f</sup> ) 3 mo	Low <sup>d.e</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 5.12 (0.58-45.16)	7 per 1,000	30 more per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 325 more)	

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Heras et al.<sup>93</sup>

<sup>b</sup>Included cerebral, retinal, peripheral, and coronary emboli.

°Study followed for mean 8.3 y; 3-mo data presented here.

<sup>d</sup>Confounding highly likely.

<sup>e</sup>Few events resulting in wide CIs.

<sup>f</sup>Blair et al.<sup>96</sup>

### and clopidogrel (75 mg/d) over VKA therapy and over no antiplatelet therapy in the first 3 months (Grade 2C).

8.2.3 Mitral Bioprosthesis: The risk of a stroke from a mitral bioprosthetic valve in the first postoperative month has been reported to be as high as 40 events per 100 patient-years.<sup>93,94,102</sup> The direct evidence on the effects of early anticoagulation on this risk is of low quality. Unlike the aortic bioprosthesis, randomized data comparing VKA to antiplatelet in the setting of mitral valve bioprosthesis is lacking. The best evidence comes from Heras et al<sup>93</sup> (Table 6, Table S5). Their observational study reports a trend toward reduced thromboembolic events in those receiving warfarin over no warfarin. However, the regimen of anticoagulation, target INR, and comparator are not clearly reported and the estimates are based on 11 events. Further, bleeding will increase relative to aspirin or no antithrombotic therapy.

Turpie et al<sup>103</sup> randomized patients with bioprosthetic valves to receive warfarin at a target INR range of 2.5 to 4.0 (n = 108) or 2.0 to 2.25 (n = 102) using an open-label design (Table 7, Table S6). This trial included patients with aortic, mitral, and tricuspid valves but was not large enough to present the results by subgroup of valve position. Patients started warfarin after surgery as soon as they were able to tolerate oral medications. Results failed to demonstrate or exclude a beneficial effect or detrimental effect of the

Table 7—[Section 8.2.3] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Lower INR Target (2.0-2.25) to Higher Target (2.5-4.0)for Bioprosthetic Values in the First 3 mo After Implantation<sup>98</sup>

				Anticipated Absolute Effects		
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Low INR	Risk Difference With High INR (95% CI)	
Thromboembolism; protocol definition <sup>a</sup>	210 (1 study) 3 mo	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.94 (0.14-6.58)	20 per 1,000	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 17 fewer to 109 more)	
Major hemorrhage	210 (1 study)	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 10 (1.27-10)			

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. INR = international normalized ratio; MI = myocardial infarction. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Cerebrovascular accident lasting > 24 h, MI with normal coronaries, systemic embolism diagnosed with angiography or surgery.

<sup>b</sup>Sealed envelope randomization, not blinded, groups aortic valve with mitral valve and double valve replacements.

<sup>c</sup>Few events resulting in wide CI including values suggesting appreciable harm and values suggesting appreciable benefit.

different INR targets on thrombosis (1.9% vs 2.0% for major embolism and 10.2% vs 10.8% for minor embolism, P value not reported). There were significantly more bleeding events in patients treated with high- compared with low-intensity VKA therapy (13.9% vs 5.9%, P = .04). Different laboratory methods were used to monitor the intensity of VKA therapy in the two groups, and it is unclear whether this impacted results.

There is currently little evidence regarding the addition of APA to oral anticoagulation (OAC) in the early treatment of bioprosthetic valves. A Cochrane review provides indirect evidence in patients with mechanical valves that suggests a significant reduction in mortality and thromboembolic outcomes at the cost of increased risk of bleeding (section 9.6). However, the trials included were dominated by mechanical valves and we are not confident that the results are generalizable to the bioprosthetic valve population.

### Recommendation

8.2.3. In patients with a bioprosthetic valve in the mitral position, we suggest VKA therapy (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0-3.0) over no VKA therapy for the first 3 months after valve insertion (Grade 2C).

### 8.3 Long-term Antithrombotic Therapy for Bioprosthetic Valves

The long-term risk of thromboemboli with a bioprosthetic value is in the range of 0.2% to 2.6%/y.<sup>104</sup> The risk is lower for patients with a rtic valve position (0.2%/y) and sinus rhythm.<sup>105</sup> There is currently no evidence supporting the long-term use of oral anticoagulation in patients with bioprosthetic valves. Case series have reported very low event rates in patients receiving APA.<sup>106-109</sup> For aortic bioprosthesis, Goldsmith et al<sup>108</sup> report that 145 patients in normal sinus rhythm treated with aspirin 75 mg daily suffered no major thromboembolic events and no major bleeding events in 254 patient-years of follow-up. Nunez et al<sup>109</sup> report that aspirin therapy in 185 patients receiving a mitral porcine bioprosthesis and in normal sinus rhythm resulted in no thromboembolic events.

Thromboembolism in patients with bioprosthetic valves and AF presumably relates to both the bioprosthetic valve and to the AF.<sup>1</sup> The incidence of thromboembolism in these patients was reported to be as high as 16% at 31 to 36 months.<sup>110,111</sup> Other factors such as lower ejection fraction and large left atrium have also been suggested to increase thromboembolic risk in the setting of bioprostheses<sup>105</sup>; however, this evidence in not compelling and there

is no evidence to support the use of oral anticoagulation in the presence of normal sinus rhythm.

Recommendation

8.3. In patients with bioprosthetic valves in normal sinus rhythm, we suggest aspirin therapy over no aspirin therapy after 3 months postoperative (Grade 2C).

### 9.0 MECHANICAL HEART VALVES

### 9.1 Early Postoperative Bridging to Intermediate/ Long-term Therapy (Postoperative Day 0 to 5)

The options for antithrombotic therapy immediately after mechanical heart valve replacement include oral VKA therapy with or without initial bridging using UFH or LMWH. We identified no randomized trials comparing these strategies.

9.1.1 Oral VKA Therapy With Prophylactic Subcutaneous UFH: A systematic review addressing the different initial anticoagulation strategies identified 20 observational studies.<sup>112</sup> Among 3,056 patients who received VKA therapy immediately after surgery, there was an absolute rate of thromboembolism of 0.9% and a bleeding rate of 3.3% during the first 30 days.

9.1.2 Oral VKA Bridged With LMWH: A prospective observational study by Talwar and colleagues<sup>113</sup> compared initial therapeutic LMWH plus oral VKA therapy to VKA therapy alone in 538 patients. Group A (n = 245) consisted of consecutive patients undergoing mechanical valve replacement over a 2-year period who were started on VKAs on postoperative day 1. Group B (n = 293) consisted of consecutive patients undergoing mechanical valve replacement over a subsequent 2-year period who received enoxaparin 1 mg/kg started 6 hours after surgery, repeated every 12 h, in combination with VKA therapy (started day 1) and continued until the INR was therapeutic. The target INR was 2.5 to 3.5 for patients with a mitral valve replacement or multiple mechanical valves and 2.0 to 3.0 for patients with an aortic valve replacement (AVR). All patients received aspirin 150 mg daily and were followed for 6 months. The incidence of prosthetic valve thrombosis (PVT) was significantly lower in patients who received enoxaparin compared with those who did not receive enoxaparin (6.1% vs 2.0%, P = .01), and there was no significant difference in bleeding.

Three observational studies reviewed by Kulik and colleagues<sup>112</sup> involving a total of 168 patients who received oral anticoagulation in combination with LMWH found rates of thromboembolism and bleeding

during the first 30 days after surgery of 0.6% and 4.8%, respectively.

9.1.3 Oral VKA Therapy Bridged With Therapeutic UFH: Kulik et al<sup>112</sup> reviewed seven observational studies involving 2,535 patients who received oral anticoagulation and IV UFH postoperatively and reported rates of thromboembolism and bleeding during the first 30 days of 1.1% and 7.2%, respectively. Bleeding data were available from only two studies (n = 261) and were not well defined with respect to major and minor events.

9.1.4 Oral VKA Therapy Bridged With Therapeutic UFH vs Oral VKA Therapy Bridged With LMWH: Montalescot and colleagues<sup>114</sup> looked at 208 consecutive patients undergoing mechanical heart valve replacement. The first 106 patients were treated with IV UFH as soon as postoperative chest tube drainage had decreased to acceptable levels (approximately 2 days after surgery). They were then switched to subcutaneous UFH tid in conjunction with oral VKAs starting on postoperative day 6. The target activated partial thromboplastin time was 1.5 to 2.5 times control for both IV and subcutaneous heparin therapies. The next 102 patients were treated with LMWH (enoxaparin or nadroparin) immediately after surgery and were started on oral VKAs on postoperative day 6. LMWH doses were titrated according to anti-Xa levels (target 0.5 to 1.0 International Units/mL). In both groups, IV or subcutaneous anticoagulation was discontinued once the INR was therapeutic. One patient treated with UFH suffered a TIA, whereas there were no thromboembolic events in the LMWH group. There were two major bleeding events in each treatment group.

Fanikos and colleagues<sup>115</sup> compared 29 patients receiving postoperative LMWH to 34 retrospectively matched patients who had received UFH in a casecontrol study. In both groups, treatment was continued until the INR was therapeutic. One patient in the LMWH group and four patients in the UFH group died within 90 days of discharge. There were two (6%) thromboembolic events in the UFH group and none in the LMWH group. Three patients in each group experienced major bleeding.

### Recommendation

9.1. In patients with mechanical heart valves, we suggest bridging with UFH (prophylactic dose) or LMWH (prophylactic or therapeutic dose) over IV therapeutic UFH until stable on VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

### 9.2 Long-term Antithrombotic Therapy for Mechanical Valves

The highest quality evidence regarding the need for anticoagulation for mechanical heart valves comes from a meta-analysis of studies of anticoagulation in patients with predominantly caged-ball or tilting disk in the aortic position.<sup>116</sup> We rated down the quality of the evidence because the meta-analysis included observational with randomized controlled trial (RCT) data and contained few events (Table 8, Table S7). However, the large effect size results in an overall rating of moderate quality of evidence. These data suggest that the relative risk of a thromboembolic event on warfarin compared with no antithrombotic therapy is 0.21 (95% CI, 0.16-0.27), and 0.11 (95% CI, 0.07-0.2) for valve thrombosis. Although one can

				Antici	pated Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation (95% CI)
Thromboembolism	997 (46 studies)	Moderate <sup>a,b</sup> due to	RR, 0.21	Moderate	
	48 mo	risk of bias, large	(0.16  to  0.27)	86 per 1,000	68 fewer per 1,000 (from 63 fewer
		effect			to 72 fewer)
Valve thrombosis;	2,000 (46 studies)	Moderate <sup>a,b</sup> due to	RR, 0.11		Moderate
operation or autopsy		risk of bias, large effect	(0.07 to 0.22)	18 per 1,000	16 fewer per 1,000 (from 14 fewer to 17 fewer)

 Table 8—[Section 9.2] Summary of Findings: Effect of Long-term Anticoagulation on Thromboembolism and Valve

 Thrombosis in Mechanical Valve Prostheses<sup>111</sup>

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Grouping RCTs with observational data, few events within studies.

<sup>b</sup>Large magnitude of effect.

### Table 9—[Section 9.3.1] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Lower INR Target (1.5-2.5) to Conventional Target (2.0-3.0) for Low-Risk Mechanical Aortic Valves<sup>113</sup>

				Anticipated Absolute Effects	
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Conventional INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
Thromboembolism; clinical follow-upª	396 (1 study) 5.6 y	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	OR, 0.33 (0.006-4.2)	15 per 1,000	10 fewer per 1,000 (from 15 fewer to 45 more)
Hemorrhage; clinical follow-up	396 (1 study) 5.6 y	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	OR, 0.36 (0.11-0.99)	80 per 1,000	50 fewer per 1,000 (from 1 fewer to 71 fewer)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. TIA = transient ischemic attack. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Valve thrombosis, ischemic stroke, TIA, coronary or peripheral embolism.

<sup>b</sup>Few events within study with corresponding wide CIs.

infer from indirect evidence that major hemorrhage risk is increased, this is greatly outweighed by the benefit.

### Recommendation

### 9.2. In patients with mechanical heart valves, we recommend VKA therapy over no VKA therapy for long-term management (Grade 1B).

For older valves, such as the caged-ball valve, which should no longer be implanted, we refer readers to the detailed information in the article by Stein et al<sup>117</sup> on antithrombotic therapy in prosthetic heart valves in the *Chest* supplement of 2001. These recommendations focus on INR targets and the addi-

tion of APA therapy to oral anticoagulation. The evidenceweused, and subsequently the recommendations based on this evidence, relate mostly to bileaflet valves and newer generation tilting-disk.

### 9.3 Intensity of VKA Therapy for Mechanical Aortic Valve Prostheses

Several randomized trials compare different intensities of long-term VKA therapy in patients with mechanical valves. Most recently, Torella et al<sup>118</sup> reported the LOWERING-IT trial (Table 9, Table S8), which compared an INR target of 1.5 to 2.5 to the conventional 2.0 to 3.0 in low-risk mechanical aortic valve patients (single AVR, with valve prosthesis dimension  $\geq 21$  mm, normal ejection fraction, left

 Table 10—[Section 9.3.2] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Higher INR Targets (Range 3.0-9.0) vs Lower Targets (Range 2.0-3.5) in Patients With Mechanical Aortic Valve<sup>114-116,136</sup>

				Anticipated Absolute Effects	
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk with High INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
Thromboembolism	1,347 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 30 mo	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	RR, 0.72 (0.29-1.79)	16 per 1,000	5 fewer per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 13 more)
Major hemorrhage; varied, Hering used Karnofsky scale grade III	2,539 (4 studies) 33.5 mo	Low <sup>b</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.60 (0.16-1.03)	42 per 1,000	17 fewer per 1,000 (from 35 fewer to 1 more)
Mortality <sup>c</sup>	205 (1 study <sup>c</sup> )	Low <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	RR, 0.97 (0.2-4.7)	30 per 1,000	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 24 fewer to 110 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Hering et al.<sup>119</sup>

<sup>b</sup>Few events within study with corresponding wide CIs.

°Pengo et al.<sup>121</sup>

www.chestpubs.org

atrium diameter <47 mm, and in normal sinus rhythm). After a total of 2,198 patient-years, the one-sided difference for the primary outcome (valve thrombosis, ischemic stroke, TIA) was 1.45%, which satisfied the trial's a priori definition for noninferiority. However, the quality of the evidence is low due to imprecision (only four thromboembolic events in the trial), and the authors rightfully conclude that larger studies are required.

The German Experience with Low Intensity Anticoagulation (GELIA) is the largest trial that adequately separated out important clinical outcomes by INR target for aortic valve vs mitral valve prostheses.<sup>119</sup> The events rates in GELIA are low, such that there is much imprecision in the estimates for thrombotic outcomes and death (Table 10, Table S9). Acar et al<sup>120</sup> compared a target INR of 2.0 to 3.0 vs target 3.0 to 4.5 in 380 patients who had predominantly mechanical aortic valve implants (n = 364). Their results failed to demonstrate or exclude a beneficial effect or detrimental effect of higher INR on thrombosis (RR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.47-2.73) or hemorrhage (RR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.36-1.37). A meta-analysis of the available studies demonstrates an increased risk of major hemorrhage for those targeted for a higher INR. However, the higher targets vary from 3.0 to 9.0. There exists no evidence that high INR for AVR mechanical results in fewer thrombotic events.

### Recommendations

# 9.3.1. In patients with a mechanical aortic valve, we suggest VKA therapy with a target of 2.5 (range 2.0-3.0) over lower targets (Grade 2C).

**9.3.2.** In patients with a mechanical aortic valve, we recommend VKA therapy with a target of 2.5 (range 2.0-3.0) over higher targets (Grade 1B).

### 9.4 Intensity of VKA Therapy for Mechanical Mitral Valve Prostheses

Mechanical valves in the mitral position are generally more thrombogenic than in the aortic position secondary to the differing hemodynamic and flow characteristics across the valve. Only the GELIA study meaningfully reports important clinical outcomes by mitral valve position (Table 11, Table S10).<sup>119,121</sup> There are three strata within the GELIA trial: stratum A targeted an INR of 3.0 to 4.5, stratum B targeting an INR of 2.5 to 4.0, and stratum C targeting an INR 2.0 to 3.5. To avoid overlap and improve contrast, we present stratum C vs stratum A in the evidence profile. From their results, there is a trend toward fewer thromboembolic events with a higher INR based on 17 events (RR, 2.25; 95% CI, 0.84-6.53). INR measurements were in the target range less often for stratum A (44.5%) than stratum C (74.5%).

Pengo et al<sup>121</sup> compared an INR target of 3.0 to 4.0 in 205 patients undergoing AVR, mitral valve replacement, or both. Their results failed to demonstrate or exclude a beneficial effect or detrimental effect of higher INR on mortality (RR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.20-4.70) or on thrombosis (RR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.33-2.93) over 36 months of follow-up. However, the trial is underpowered for these outcomes. As previously presented, the bleeding risk is likely increased by targeting a higher INR.

				Anticipate	d Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With High INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
Major hemorrhage; definitions varied	2,539 (4 studies) 33.5 mo	Low <sup>a,b</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.60 (0.16-1.03)	42 per 1,000	17 fewer per 1,000 (from 35 fewer to 1 more)
Mortality <sup>e</sup>	205 (1 study <sup>c</sup> )	Low <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	RR, 0.97 (0.2-4.7)	30 per 1,000	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 24 fewer to 110 more)
Thromboembolism <sup>d</sup>	360 (1 study <sup>d</sup> ) 30 mo	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	RR, 2.25 (0.84-6.53)	28 per 1,000	35 more per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 155 more)

 Table 11—[Section 9.4] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Higher INR Targets (Range 3.0-9.0) vs Lower Targets (Range 2.0-3.5) in Patients With Mechanical Mitral Valve<sup>114-116,136</sup>

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of abbreviations.

aWide variation in target ranges of INR among studies with likely differing effects on bleeding outcome.

<sup>b</sup>Few events within study with corresponding wide CIs.

°Pengo et al.<sup>121</sup>

dHering et al.119

Cannegieter and associates<sup>122</sup> reported on 1,608 patients with mechanical heart valves. In this observational study there were 45 patients who had a thromboembolic event, yielding an incidence of thromboembolism of 0.5%/y with mechanical aortic valves, 0.9%/y with mechanical mitral valves, and 1.2%/y with double aortic and mitral mechanical valves.<sup>122</sup> Their groups examined the optimal intensity of anticoagulation, defining it as the INR level with the lowest incidence of both bleeding and thromboembolism. For all patients, this level appears to be in the range of 2.5 to 4.9. Further, when they looked at the subgroup of mitral valve, a target range of 3.0 to 3.9 appears better than a target of 2.0 to 2.9. Caution must be used when citing these data. First, the study is limited by its design, with high risk of confounding within the data. Second, the study is drastically underpowered when looking at the subgroup by valve position. Unfortunately, the 95% CI for the incidence of events within the mitral valve group by target INR is not provided, nor are any statistics to test for significant difference. Therefore, we would consider these data inconclusive and hypothesis generating only.

### Recommendation

**9.4. In patients with a mechanical mitral valve,** we suggest VKA therapy with a target of **3.0 (range 2.5-3.5) over lower INR targets** (Grade 2C).

### 9.5 Intensity of VKA Therapy in Patients With Double Mechanical Valve or With Additional Risk Factors

The presence of double mechanical valve replacement increases the risk of thromboembolism to 1.2%/y from 0.5%/y for aortic, and 0.9%/y for mitral.<sup>122</sup> In

 

 Table 12—[Section 9.6] Summary of Findings: Effect of Addition of Antiplatelet Therapy to Anticoagulation in Patients With Mechanical Heart Valves<sup>137-145</sup>

				Antici	pated Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With OAC Alone	Risk Difference With OAC Plus Antiplatelet Drug (95% CI)
Mortality; unclear	1,955 (8 studies) 19 mo	Moderate <sup>a,b</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 0.58 (0.4-0.86)	69 per 1,000	29 fewer per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 41 fewer)
Thromboembolism; not reported	1,686 (5 studies) 19 mo	Low <sup>a,c,d</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 0.42 (0.21-0.81)	69 per 1,000	40 fewer per 1,000 (from 13 fewer to 55 fewer)
Mitral valve-arterial thromboembolism; unclear	163 (2 studies) 23 mo	Low <sup>a,e,f</sup> due to risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision	RR, 1.18 (0.37-3.74) <sup>g</sup>	72 per 1,000	13 more per 1,000 (from 46 fewer to 199 more)
Aortic valve-arterial thromboembolism; unclear	423 (2 studies) 23 mo	Low <sup>a,f</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.29 (0.1-0.86) <sup>g</sup>	69 per 1,000	49 fewer per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 62 fewer)
Valve thrombosis; unclear	1,203 (3 studies) 12-30 mo	Low <sup>a,h</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.40 (0.18-0.9)	44 per 1,000	26 fewer per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 36 fewer)
Ischemic stroke; unclear	1,686 (6 studies) 12-30 mo	Low <sup>a,i</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	RR, 0.28 (0.15-0.52)	66 per 1,000	47 fewer per 1,000 (from 32 fewer to 56 fewer)
Major hemorrhage; not reported	1,854 (7 studies) 19 mo	Low <sup>a,j</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 1.44 (1-2.08)	68 per 1,000	30 more per 1,000 (from 0 more to 73 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. ASA = acetylsalicylic acid; OAC = oral anticoagulants. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies, randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome ascertainment not clearly described.

<sup>b</sup>One of nine studies finds OAC + ASA to have higher mortality (nonsignificant) than OAC alone; all others find mechanical valves protective, but only two are statistically significant before pooling.

Types of thromboemboli reported varied and were not consistent across studies. Not clear how bleeding monitored.

dStatistical heterogeneity: Cochran Q = 9.763529 (df = 6) P = .135;  $I^2 = 38.5\%$ .

<sup>e</sup>Small numbers of events; point estimates differ.

Fewer than 300 events, and CI includes > 25% relative increase/decrease in potential for benefit or harm.

gFixed effects model; two studies.

<sup>h</sup>Fewer than 300 events (total = 41) and overall small sample sizes (total = 1,203). Resulting CI is wide.

<sup>i</sup>Fewer than 300 events (total = 74); total sample size = 1,686.

Definition of major bleeding varied widely or was not provided. May include bleeding that could be considered minor.

the GELIA study,<sup>123</sup> less intensive anticoagulation (INR range, 2.0-3.5) was associated with a significantly (P < .005) lower survival than was more intensive anticoagulation (INR range, 2.5-4.5) among patients with double valve replacement.

Factors such as the presence of AF, low left ventricular ejection fraction, older age, and a history of prior thromboembolism have been suggested to increase risk of thromboembolic complications.<sup>105</sup> However, no evidence exists demonstrating that higher INR targets have additional benefit over harm in these patients.

#### Recommendation

# 9.5. In patients with mechanical heart valves in both the aortic and mitral position, we suggest target INR 3.0 (range 2.5-3.5) over target INR 2.5 (range 2.0-3.0) (Grade 2C).

### 9.6 APA in Addition to VKA Therapy for Mechanical Aortic or Mitral Valve Prostheses

An updated meta-analysis (Table 12, Table S11) suggests a significant reduction in mortality (RR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.4-0.86) and thromboembolic outcomes (RR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.21-0.81) by the addition of APA with a relatively small increase in risk of major hemorrhage (RR 1.44; 95% CI, 1.00-2.08).

### Recommendation

### 9.6. In patients with a mechanical mitral or aortic valve at low risk of bleeding, we suggest adding over not adding an antiplatelet agent such as

### low-dose aspirin (50-100 mg/d) to the VKA therapy (Grade 1B).

*Remarks:* Caution should be used in patients at increased bleeding risk, such as history of GI bleeding.

### 9.7 APA Therapy Instead of VKA Therapy

There is currently no evidence to support the replacement of VKA therapy by APA for either mechanical aortic or mitral valve prostheses. Several studies in the pediatric population have demonstrated an unacceptable risk of thromboembolism when treating with APA alone.<sup>124,125</sup> Schlitt et al<sup>126</sup> undertook the CAPTA trial, which randomized patients with a mechanical aortic valve to Coumadin vs aspirin/clopidogrel. The trial was stopped after 22 patients due to one instance of valve thrombosis in the APA group.<sup>126</sup> Indirect evidence from trials in AF provides strong support for the effectiveness of VKA over APA in patients with mechanical valves.

### Recommendation

9.7. For patients with mechanical aortic or mitral valves we recommend VKA over antiplatelet agents (Grade 1B).

### 10.0 Heart Valve Repair

### 10.1 Antithrombotic Therapy After Mitral Valve Repair

Mitral valve repair commonly involves the removal of redundant or pathologic leaflet tissue, the placement of a synthetic ring or band to decrease annular

 

 Table 13—[Section 10.1] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Antiplatelet to Anticoagulation in the First 3 mo After Mitral Valve Repair<sup>101</sup>

				Anticip	ated Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Agent (95% CI)
Thromboembolism; guidelines from Edmunds et al <sup>127</sup>	162 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 3.2 y	Low <sup>b-d</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	RR, 0.16 (0.03-0.86)	100 per 1,000	84 fewer per 1,000 (from 14 fewer to 97 fewer)
Hemorrhage	162 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 3.2 y	Low <sup>b-d</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	RR, 0.98 (0.11-9.19)	25 per 1,000	0 fewer per 1,000 (from 22 fewer to 205 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. AF = atrial fibrillation; ITT = intention-to-treat. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>b</sup>Imbalance in risk between groups. The Coumadin group carries greater risk with more AF and older patients. There is no adjustment for this. Study not analyzed as ITT.

<sup>c</sup>Major heterogeneity in types on patients included (ie, bioprosthetic mitral valve, bioprosthetic aortic valve, valve repair).

<sup>d</sup>Estimates based on few events with resultant wide CI.

size, and perhaps the resuspension of leaflets with new or transposed chordate. We have identified no randomized trial evaluating the use of antithrombotic therapy after mitral valve repair. Aramendi et al<sup>106</sup> published a prospective cohort study examining the outcomes of 235 mitral repair or replacement patients. The data suggest superiority of Ticlopidine over warfarin in preventing thromboembolism (RR, 0.16; 95% CI, 0.03-0.86) with no difference in bleeding. The quality of the evidence is low given the observational nature of the data and study limitations related to an imbalance between the groups in the prevalence of AF (Table 13, Table S12).

### Recommendation

10.1. In patients undergoing mitral valve repair with a prosthetic band in normal sinus rhythm, we suggest the use of antiplatelet therapy for the first 3 months over VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

### 10.2 Aortic Valve Repair

Aortic valve repair is less common than that of mitral valve. The operation usually involves reimplanting the aortic valve into a Dacron graft after having excised the aortic root. Further, pericardium (autologous or other) may be used to reconstruct the aortic leaflets. No trials exist that compare early approaches to antithrombotics after this procedure. Duran et al,<sup>128,129</sup> however, report in two publications on 173 patients that the use of aspirin 100 mg/d resulted in no valve thrombosis or thromboembolic events.

### Recommendation

### 10.2. In patients undergoing aortic valve repair, we suggest aspirin at 50 to 100 mg/d over VKA therapy (Grade 2C).

### **11.0 PROSTHETIC VALVE THROMBOSIS**

Prosthetic valve obstruction may be the result of thrombosis, pannus ingrowth, or both.<sup>130</sup> Clinical history and echocardiographic study are used to determine the cause. This is important, since thrombolysis will not be effective in pannus ingrowth.

Prosthetic valve thrombosis has an incidence ranging from 0.1% to 5.7% per patient-year. Although rare, this complication is potentially lethal. Treatment of this pathology consists of surgery, thrombolytic therapy, or anticoagulation. The choice of therapy is highly dependent on valve location, as a common complication of fibrinolytic therapy is thromboemboli, which is less serious when they are to the pulmonary circulation.

 Table 14—[Section 11.2.1] Summary of Findings: Comparison of Fibrinolysis to Surgical Intervention for Prosthetic Valve Thrombosis<sup>126</sup>

				Anticipa	ted Absolute Effects
Outcomes	No. of Participants (Studies) Follow-up	Quality of the Evidence (GRADE)	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Surgery	Risk Difference With Fibrinolysis (95% CI)
Mortality; clinical follow-up	263 (1 study) 6 y	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 1.14 (0.58-2.28)	103 per 1,000	14 more per 1,000 (from 43 fewer to 132 more)
Full hemodynamic success; hemodynamic normalization cinefluoroscopy, TTE, or TEE	263 (1 study) 6 y	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 0.79 (0.7-0.9)	897 per 1,000	188 fewer per 1,000 (from 90 fewer to 269 fewer)
Thromboembolism; clinical follow-up	263 (1 study) 6 y	Lowª due to risk of bias, large effect	RR, 20.35 (2.76-149.79)	7 per 1,000	142 more per 1,000 (from 13 more to 1,000 more)
Hemorrhage; not clearly defined	263 (1 study) 6 y	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 6.4 (0.78-52.6)	7 per 1,000	40 more per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 379 more)
Recurrence of obstruction	187 (1 study) 6 y	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	RR, 2.13 (1.08-4.21)	114 per 1,000	128 more per 1,000 (from 9 more to 365 more)

The basis for the assumed risk (eg, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI). High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate. TEE = transesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography. See Table 1 and 2 legends for expansion of other abbreviations.

<sup>a</sup>Nonrandomized, nonblinded design, ascertainment bias likely.

www.chestpubs.org

### 11.1 Right-Sided Prosthetic Valve Thrombosis

The data on right-sided PVT are very limited. Authorities have suggested that PVT of right-sided valves can be treated safely and effectively with fibrinolytic therapy.<sup>131,132</sup>

### Recommendation

# 11.1. For patients with right-sided PVT, in the absence of contraindications we suggest administration of fibrinolytic therapy over surgical intervention (Grade 2C).

### 11.2 Left-Sided Prosthetic Valve Thrombosis

When treating left-sided PVT, the risks associated with reoperative surgery must be weighed against the risks of embolic complications and bleeding associated with the use of fibrinolytic therapy. Deviri et al<sup>133</sup> reported that perioperative mortality increases directly with NYHA class; 17.5% in NYHA IV and 4.7% in NYHA I to III. However, NYHA class is also a predictor of complication in fibrinolysis along with history of stroke, and thrombus area.<sup>134</sup> Tong et al<sup>134</sup> highlighted two predictors of complications with lysis: thrombus area (OR, 2.41 per 1-cm<sup>2</sup> increment; 95% CI, 1.12-5.19) and prior history of stroke (OR, 4.55; 95% CI, 1.35-15.38). Using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, the best cutoff of thrombus size for predicting complications was 0.8 cm<sup>2</sup> (sensitivity 79%, specificity 68%).<sup>134</sup> This cutoff was applicable to both mitral and aortic valves as well as bileaflet or tilting disc valves.

In 2009, Roudaut et al<sup>135</sup> presented one of the first studies that directly compared fibrinolysis to surgery in a large single-center retrospective study (Table 14, Table S13). These data suggest no difference between the approaches with respect to mortality, a much higher rate of embolic episodes in the fibrinolysis group (RR, 20.35; 95% CI, 2.76-149.79), and less hemodynamic success (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.70-0.90). Further, long-term freedom from recurrence was better in the surgical group (RR, 2.13; 95% CI, 1.08-4.21).

### Recommendations

11.2.1. For patients with left-sided PVT and large thrombus area ( $\leq 0.8 \text{ cm}^2$ ), we suggest early surgery over fibrinolytic therapy (Grade 2C). If contraindications to surgery exist, we suggest the use of fibrinolytic therapy (Grade 2C).

11.2.2. For patients with left-sided PVT and small thrombus area  $(>0.8 \text{ cm}^2)$ , we suggest administration of fibrinolytic therapy over surgery. For very small, nonobstructive thrombus we suggest IV UFH accompanied by serial Doppler echocardi-

### ography to document thrombus resolution or improvement over other alternatives (Grade 2C).

#### Acknowledgments

**Author Contributions:** As Topic Editor, Dr Whitlock oversaw the development of this article, including the data analysis and subsequent development of the recommendations contained herein. *Dr Whitlock:* contributed as the Topic Editor.

- Dr Sun: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Fremes: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Rubens: contributed as a panelist.
- Dr Teoh: contributed as a panelist.

**Financial/nonfinancial disclosures:** The authors of this guideline provided detailed conflict of interest information related to each individual recommendation made in this article. A grid of these disclosures is available online at http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S/suppl/DC1. In summary, Dr Whitlock served on the advisory board for AstraZeneca in 2010 and served as a consultant for Boehringer Ingelheim for experimental anticoagulant study in mechanical valves; neither activity is related to the contents of this article. Dr Sun received funds from the University of Washington for research. Drs Freme, Rubens, and Teoh have reported to *CHEST* that no potential conflicts of interest exist with any companies/organizations whose products or services may be discussed in this article.

**Role of sponsors:** The sponsors played no role in the development of these guidelines. Sponsoring organizations cannot recommend panelists or topics, nor are they allowed prepublication access to the manuscripts and recommendations. Guideline panel members, including the chair, and members of the Health & Science Policy Committee are blinded to the funding sources. Further details on the Conflict of Interest Policy are available online at http://chestnet.org. **Endorsements:** This guideline is endorsed by the American Association for Clinical Chemistry, the American College of Clinical Pharmacy, the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the American Society of Hematology, and the International Society of Thrombosis and Hematosis.

additional information: The supplement Tables can be found in the Online Data Supplement at http://chestjournal.chestpubs. org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S/suppl/DC1.

### References

- You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e531S-e575S.
- 2. Holbrook A, Schulman S, Witt DM, et al. Evidence-based management of anticoagulant therapy: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e152S-e184S.
- 3. Guyatt GH, Norris SL, Schulman S, et al. Methodology for the development of antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis guidelines: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):53S-70S.
- 4. MacLean S, Mulla S, Âkl EA, et al. Patient values and preferences in decision making for antithrombotic therapy: a systematic review: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2)(suppl):e1S-e23S.
- 5. Wood P. Diseases of the Heart and Circulation. Philadelphia, PA: JB Lippincott; 1956.
- 6. Ellis LB, Harken DE. Arterial embolization in relation to mitral valvuloplasty. *Am Heart J.* 1961;62(5):611-620.

Antithrombotic Therapy for Valvular Disease

- Szekely P. Systemic embolization and anticoagulant prophylaxis in rheumatic heart disease. *BMJ*. 1964;1(5392):1209-1212.
- Deverall PB, Olley PM, Smith DR, Watson DA, Whitaker W. Incidence of systemic embolism before and after mitral valvotomy. *Thorax*. 1968;23(5):530-536.
- Cassella K, Abelmann WH, Ellis LB. Patients with mitral stenosis and systemic emboli. Arch Intern Med. 1964;114:773-781.
- Dewar HA, Weightman D. A study of embolism in mitral valve disease and atrial fibrillation. Br Heart J. 1983;49(2):133-140.
- Daley R, Mattingly TW, Holt C, Bland EF, White PD. Systemic arterial embolism in rheumatic heart disease. *Am Heart J.* 1951;42(4):566-581.
- Hay WE, Levine SA. Age and atrial fibrillation as independent factors in auricular mural thrombus formation. *Am Heart J.* 1942;24(1):1-4.
- Coulshed N, Epstein EJ, McKendrick CS, Galloway RW, Walker E. Systemic embolism in mitral valve disease. Br Heart J. 1970;32(1):26-34.
- Fleming HA. Anticoagulants in rheumatic heart-disease. Lancet. 1971;2(7722):486.
- Vigna C, de Rito V, Criconia GM, et al. Left atrial thrombus and spontaneous echo-contrast in nonanticoagulated mitral stenosis. A transesophageal echocardiographic study. *Chest.* 1993;103(2):348-352.
- Kasliwal RR, Mittal S, Kanojia A, et al. A study of spontaneous echo contrast in patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis and normal sinus rhythm: an Indian perspective. *Br Heart J.* 1995;74(3):296-299.
- 17. Goswami KC, Yadav R, Rao MB, Bahl VK, Talwar KK, Manchanda SC. Clinical and echocardiographic predictors of left atrial clot and spontaneous echo contrast in patients with severe rheumatic mitral stenosis: a prospective study in 200 patients by transesophageal echocardiography. *Int J Cardiol.* 2000;73(3):273-279.
- Predictors of thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation: II. Echocardiographic features of patients at risk. The Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. Ann Intern Med. 1992;116(1):6-12.
- Caplan LR, D'Cruz I, Hier DB, Reddy H, Shah S. Atrial size, atrial fibrillation, and stroke. Ann Neurol. 1986;19(2):158-161.
- Mounier-Vehier F, Leys D, Rondepierre P, Godefroy O, Pruvo JP. Silent infarcts in patients with ischemic stroke are related to age and size of the left atrium. *Stroke*. 1993; 24(9):1347-1351.
- 21. Echocardiographic predictors of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation: a prospective study of 1066 patients from 3 clinical trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158(12):1316-1320.
- Chiang CW, Lo SK, Ko YS, Cheng NJ, Lin PJ, Chang CH. Predictors of systemic embolism in patients with mitral stenosis. A prospective study. Ann Intern Med. 1998;128(11):885-889.
- Levine HJ. Which atrial fibrillation patients should be on chronic anticoagulation? J Cardiovasc Med. 1981;6:483-487.
- 24. Friedberg CK. *Diseases of the Heart*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders; 1966.
- Carter AB. Prognosis of cerebral embolism. *Lancet*. 1965; 286(7411):514-519.
- Carabello BA. Modern management of mitral stenosis. Circulation. 2005;112(3):432-437.
- Chen MC, Wu CJ, Chang HW, et al. Mechanism of reducing platelet activity by percutaneous transluminal mitral valvuloplasty in patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis. *Chest.* 2004;125(5):1629-1634.
- Adams GF, Merrett JD, Hutchinson WM, Pollock AM. Cerebral embolism and mitral stenosis: survival with and without anticoagulants. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1974;37(4):378-383.
- 29. Silaruks S, Thinkhamrop B, Tantikosum W, Wongvipaporn C, Tatsanavivat P, Klungboonkrong V. A prognostic model for

www.chestpubs.org

predicting the disappearance of left atrial thrombi among candidates for percutaneous transvenous mitral commissurotomy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39(5):886-891.

- 30. Roy D, Marchand E, Gagne P, Chabot M, Cartier R. Usefulness of anticoagulant therapy in the prevention of embolic complications of atrial fibrillation. Am Heart J. 1986;112(5):1039-1043.
- Silaruks S, Thinkhamrop B, Kiatchoosakun S, Wongvipaporn C, Tatsanavivat P. Resolution of left atrial thrombus after 6 months of anticoagulation in candidates for percutaneous transvenous mitral commissurotomy. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004; 140(2):101-105.
- 32. Kang DH, Song JK, Chae JK, et al. Comparison of outcomes of percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty versus mitral valve replacement after resolution of left atrial appendage thrombi by warfarin therapy. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81(1):97-100.
- Abraham KA, Chandraskar B, Sriram R. Percutaneous transvenous mitral commissurotomy without heparin. J Invasive Cardiol. 1997;9(9):575-577.
- Barnett HJ. Transient cerebral ischemia: pathogenesis, prognosis, and management. Ann R Coll Physicians Surg Can. 1974;7:153-173.
- Barnett HJ, Boughner DR, Taylor DW, Cooper PE, Kostuk WJ, Nichol PM. Further evidence relating mitralvalve prolapse to cerebral ischemic events. N Engl J Med. 1980;302(3):139-144.
- Barnett HJ, Jones MW, Boughner DR, Kostuk WJ. Cerebral ischemic events associated with prolapsing mitral valve. *Arch Neurol.* 1976;33(11):777-782.
- Hanson MR, Hodgman JR, Conomy JP. A study of stroke associated with prolapsed mitral valve. *Neurology*. 1978;23:341.
- Hirsowitz GS, Saffer D. Hemiplegia and the billowing mitral leaflet syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1978;41(4):381-383.
- Jeresaty RM. Mitral Value Prolapse. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1979.
- Saffro R, Talano JV. Transient ischemic attack associated with mitral systolic clicks. Arch Intern Med. 1979;139(6):693-694.
- Gilon D, Buonanno FS, Joffe MM, et al. Lack of evidence of an association between mitral-valve prolapse and stroke in young patients. N Engl J Med. 1999;341(1):8-13.
- Freed LA, Levy D, Levine RA, et al. Prevalence and clinical outcome of mitral-valve prolapse. N Engl J Med. 1999; 341(1):1-7.
- Avierinos JF, Brown RD, Foley DA, et al. Cerebral ischemic events after diagnosis of mitral valve prolapse: a communitybased study of incidence and predictive factors. *Stroke*. 2003; 34(6):1339-1344.
- 44. Cohen A, Tzourio C, Chauvel C, et al. Mitral valve strands and the risk of ischemic stroke in elderly patients. The French Study of Aortic Plaques in Stroke (FAPS) Investigators. *Stroke*. 1997;28(8):1574-1578.
- 45. Lansberg MG, O'Donnell MJ, Khatri P, et al. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2)(suppl):e601S-e636S.
- Benjamin EJ, Plehn JF, D'Agostino RB, et al. Mitral annular calcification and the risk of stroke in an elderly cohort. *N Engl J Med.* 1992;327(6):374-379.
- Fulkerson PK, Beaver BM, Auseon JC, Graber HL. Calcification of the mitral annulus: etiology, clinical associations, complications and therapy. *Am J Med.* 1979;66(6):967-977.
- Nestico PF, Depace NL, Morganroth J, Kotler MN, Ross J. Mitral annular calcification: clinical, pathophysiology, and echocardiographic review. *Am Heart J*. 1984;107(5 pt 1): 989-996.

- 49. Boon A, Lodder J, Cheriex E, Kessels F. Risk of stroke in a cohort of 815 patients with calcification of the aortic valve with or without stenosis. *Stroke*. 1996;27(5):847-851.
- Kizer JR, Wiebers DO, Whisnant JP, et al. Mitral annular calcification, aortic valve sclerosis, and incident stroke in adults free of clinical cardiovascular disease: the Strong Heart Study. *Stroke*. 2005;36(12):2533-2537.
- Otto CM, Lind BK, Kitzman DW, Gersh BJ, Siscovick DS. Association of aortic-valve sclerosis with cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in the elderly. N Engl J Med. 1999; 341(3):142-147.
- Amarenco P, Cohen A, Baudrimont M, Bousser MG. Transesophageal echocardiographic detection of aortic arch disease in patients with cerebral infarction. *Stroke*. 1992; 23(7):1005-1009.
- Amarenco P, Duyckaerts C, Tzourio C, Henin D, Bousser MG, Hauw JJ. The prevalence of ulcerated plaques in the aortic arch in patients with stroke. N Engl J Med. 1992;326(4): 221-225.
- 54. Transesophageal echocardiography correlates of thromboembolism in high-risk patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. The Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators Committee on Echocardiography. Ann Intern Med. 1998;128:639-647.
- 55. Cohen A, Tzourio C, Bertr B, Chauvel C, Bousser MG, Amarenco P. Aortic plaque morphology and vascular events: A follow-up study in patients with ischemic stroke. *Circulation*. 1997;96(11):3838-3841.
- Ferrari E, Vidal R, Chevallier T, Baudouy M. Atherosclerosis of the thoracic aorta and aortic debris as a marker of poor prognosis: benefit of oral anticoagulants. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1999;33(5):1317-1322.
- 57. Tunick PA, Nayar AC, Goodkin GM, et al. Effect of treatment on the incidence of stroke and other emboli in 519 patients with severe thoracic aortic plaque. Am J Cardiol. 2002; 90(12):1320-1325.
- Tunick PA, Kronzon I. Atheromas of the thoracic aorta: clinical and therapeutic update. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000; 35(3):545-554.
- Homma S, Sacco RL. Patent foramen ovale and stroke. Circulation. 2005;112(7):1063-1072.
- 60. Schneider B, Hanrath P, Vogel P, Meinertz T. Improved morphologic characterization of atrial septal aneurysm by transesophageal echocardiography: relation to cerebrovascular events. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990;16(4):1000-1009.
- Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR, Sciacca RR, Mohr JP. Atrial anatomy in non-cardioembolic stroke patients: effect of medical therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42(6):1066-1072.
- Meissner I, Khandheria BK, Heit JA, et al. Patent foramen ovale: innocent or guilty? Evidence from a prospective population-based study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47(2):440-445.
- Petty GW, Khandheria BK, Meissner I, et al. Populationbased study of the relationship between patent foramen ovale and cerebrovascular ischemic events. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2006;81(5):602-608.
- Kizer JR, Devereux RB. Clinical practice. Patent foramen ovale in young adults with unexplained stroke. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(22):2361-2372.
- 65. Mas JL, Arquizan C, Lamy C, et al. Patent Foramen O, Atrial Septal Aneurysm Study G. Recurrent cerebrovascular events associated with patent foramen ovale, atrial septal aneurysm, or both. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(24):1740-1746.
- Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR, Sciacca RR, Mohr JP. Investigators PFOiCSS. Effect of medical treatment in stroke patients with patent foramen ovale: patent foramen ovale in Cryptogenic Stroke Study. *Circulation*. 2002;105(22): 2625-2631.

- Di Salvo G, Habib G, Pergola V, et al. Echocardiography predicts embolic events in infective endocarditis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;37(4):1069-1076.
- Vilacosta I, Graupner C, San Roman JA, et al. Risk of embolization after institution of antibiotic therapy for infective endocarditis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39(9):1489-1495.
- Lerner PI, Weinstein L. Infective endocarditis in the antibiotic era. N Engl J Med. 1966;274(4):199-206.
- Brunson JG. Coronary embolism in bacterial endocarditis. Am J Pathol. 1953;29(4):689-701.
- Carpenter JL, McAllister CK. Anticoagulation in prosthetic valve endocarditis. South Med J. 1983;76(11):1372-1375.
- Masur H, Johnson WD Jr. Prosthetic valve endocarditis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1980;80(1):31-37.
- Pruitt AA, Rubin RH, Karchmer AW, Duncan GW. Neurologic complications of bacterial endocarditis. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 1978;57(4):329-343.
- Steckelberg JM, Murphy JG, Ballard D, et al. Emboli in infective endocarditis: the prognostic value of echocardiography. Ann Intern Med. 1991;114(8):635-640.
- Freidman MKL, Howell K. Experimental endocarditis due to Streptococcus viridans. Arch Intern Med. 1938;61(1): 95-118.
- Loewe L, Rosenblatt P, Greene HJ. Combined penicillin and heparin therapy of subacute bacterial endocarditis. *Bull* N Y Acad Med. 1946;22(5):270-272.
- Paschalis C, Pugsley W, John R, Harrison MJ. Rate of cerebral embolic events in relation to antibiotic and anticoagulant therapy in patients with bacterial endocarditis. *Eur Neurol.* 1990;30(2):87-89.
- Thill CJ, Meyer OO. Experiences with penicillin and dicumarol in the treatment of subacute bacterial endocarditis. *Am J Med Sci.* 1947;213(3):300-307.
- Finland M. Current status of therapy in bacterial endocarditis. J Am Med Assoc. 1958;166(4):364-373.
- Kanis J. The use of anticoagulants in bacterial endocarditis. Postgrad Med J. 1974;50(3):312-313.
- Katz LES. Combined heparin and chemotherapy in subacute bacterial endocarditis. J Am Med Assoc. 1944;124(3): 149-152.
- McLean J, Meyer BBM, Griffith JM. Heparin in subacute bacterial endocarditis: report of a case and critical review of the literature. *JAMA*. 1941;117(22):1870-1879.
- Priest W, Smith JM, McGee CJ. The effect of anticoagulants on the penicillin therapy and the pathologic lesion of subacute bacterial endocarditis. N Engl J Med. 1946; 235(20):699-796.
- Chan KL, Dumesnil JG, Cujec B, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin on the risk of embolic events in patients with infective endocarditis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2003;42(5):775-780.
- Block PC, DeSanctis RW, Weinberg AN, Austen WG. Prosthetic valve endocarditis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1970;60(4):540-548.
- Wilson WR, Geraci JE, Danielson GK, et al. Anticoagulant therapy and central nervous system complications in patients with prosthetic valve endocarditis. *Circulation*. 1978;57(5): 1004-1007.
- Davenport J, Hart RG. Prosthetic valve endocarditis 1976-1987. Antibiotics, anticoagulation, and stroke. *Stroke*. 1990;21(7):993-999.
- Yeh TJ, Anabtawi IN, Cornett VE, Ellison RG. Influence of rhythm and anticoagulation upon the incidence of embolization associated with Starr-Edwards prostheses. *Circulation*. 1967;35(4 suppl):177-181.
- Lieberman A, Hass WK, Pinto R, et al. Intracranial hemorrhage and infarction in anticoagulated patients with prosthetic heart valves. *Stroke*. 1978;9(1):18-24.

- Lopez JA, Ross RS, Fishbein MC, Siegel RJ. Nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis: a review. Am Heart J. 1987;113(3): 773-784.
- Sack GH Jr, Levin J, Bell WR. Trousseau's syndrome and other manifestations of chronic disseminated coagulopathy in patients with neoplasms: clinical, pathophysiologic, and therapeutic features. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 1977;56(1):1-37.
- Rogers LR, Cho ES, Kempin S, Posner JB. Cerebral infarction from non-bacterial thrombotic endocarditis. Clinical and pathological study including the effects of anticoagulation. Am J Med. 1987;83(4):746-756.
- Heras M, Chesebro JH, Fuster V, et al. High risk of thromboemboli early after bioprosthetic cardiac valve replacement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1995;25(5):1111-1119.
- 94. Ionescu MI, Smith DR, Hasan SS, Chidambaram M, Tandon AP. Clinical durability of the pericardial xenograft valve: ten years experience with mitral replacement. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1982;34(3):265-277.
- Moinuddeen K, Quin J, Shaw R, et al. Anticoagulation is unnecessary after biological aortic valve replacement. *Circulation*. 1998;98(19 suppl):II95-II98.
- Blair KL, Hatton AC, White WD, et al. Comparison of anticoagulation regimens after Carpentier-Edwards aortic or mitral valve replacement. *Circulation*. 1994;90(5 pt 2):II214-II219.
- Aramendi JI, Mestres CA, Martinez-Leon J, Campos V, Munoz G, Navas C. Triflusal versus oral anticoagulation for primary prevention of thromboembolism after bioprosthetic valve replacement (trac): prospective, randomized, co-operative trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2005;27(5):854-860.
- 98. Colli A, Mestres CA, Castella M, Gherli T. Comparing warfarin to aspirin (WoA) after aortic valve replacement with the St. Jude Medical Epic heart valve bioprosthesis: results of the WoA Epic pilot trial. *J Heart Valve Dis.* 2007;16(6): 667-671.
- 99. Cribier A, Eltchaninoff H, Bash A, et al. Percutaneous transcatheter implantation of an aortic valve prosthesis for calcific aortic stenosis: first human case description. *Circulation*. 2002;106(24):3006-3008.
- 100. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack M, et al. Transcatheter aortic-valve implantation for aortic stenosis in patients who cannot undergo surgery. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(17): 1597-1607.
- 101. Tamburino C, Capodanno D, Ramondo A, et al. Incidence and predictors of early and late mortality after transcatheter aortic valve implantation in 663 patients with severe aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 2011;123(3):299-308.
- Orszulak TA, Schaff HV, Pluth JR, et al. The risk of stroke in the early postoperative period following mitral valve replacement. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1995;9(11):615-619.
- 103. Turpie AG, Gunstensen J, Hirsh J, Nelson H, Gent M. Randomised comparison of two intensities of oral anticoagulant therapy after tissue heart valve replacement. *Lancet*. 1988;1(8597):1242-1245.
- Cohn LH, Mudge GH, Pratter F, Collins JJ Jr. Five to eight-year follow-up of patients undergoing porcine heartvalve replacement. N Engl J Med. 1981;304(5):258-262.
- 105. Horstkotte D, Scharf RE, Schultheiss HP. Intracardiac thrombosis: patient-related and device-related factors. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 1995;4(2):114-120.
- 106. Aramendi JL, Agredo J, Llorente A, Larrarte C, Pijoan J. Prevention of thromboembolism with ticlopidine shortly after valve repair or replacement with a bioprosthesis. *J Heart Valve Dis*. 1998;7(6):610-614.
- Braile DM, Ardito RV, Greco OT, Lorga AM. IMC bovine pericardial valve: 11 years. J Card Surg. 1991;6(4 suppl):580-588.
- 108. Goldsmith I, Lip GY, Mukundan S, Rosin MD. Experience with low-dose aspirin as thromboprophylaxis for the Tis-

www.chestpubs.org

suemed porcine aortic bioprosthesis: a survey of five years' experience. J Heart Valve Dis. 1998;7(5):574-579.

- Nunez L, Gil Aguado M, Larrea JL, Celemin D, Oliver J. Prevention of thromboembolism using aspirin after mitral valve replacement with porcine bioprosthesis. *Ann Thorac* Surg. 1984;37(1):84-87.
- 110. Gonzalez-Lavin L, Tandon AP, Chi S, et al. The risk of thromboembolism and hemorrhage following mitral valve replacement. A comparative analysis between the porcine xenograft valve and Ionescu-Shiley bovine pericardial valve. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1984;87(3):340-351.
- 111. Williams JB, Karp RB, Kirklin JW, et al. Considerations in selection and management of patients undergoing valve replacement with glutaraldehyde-fixed porcine bioprotheses. Ann Thorac Surg. 1980;30(3):247-258.
- 112. Kulik A, Rubens FD, Wells PS, et al. Early postoperative anticoagulation after mechanical valve replacement: a systematic review. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2006;81(2):770-781.
- Talwar S, Kapoor CK, Velayoudam D, Kumar AS. Anticoagulation protocol and early prosthetic valve thrombosis. *Indian Heart J.* 2004;56(3):225-228.
- Montalescot G, Polle V, Collet JP, et al. Low molecular weight heparin after mechanical heart valve replacement. *Circulation*. 2000;101(10):1083-1086.
- 115. Fanikos J, Tsilimingras K, Kucher N, Rosen AB, Hieblinger MD, Goldhaber SZ. Comparison of efficacy, safety, and cost of low-molecular-weight heparin with continuous-infusion unfractionated heparin for initiation of anticoagulation after mechanical prosthetic valve implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;93(2):247-250.
- Cannegieter SC, Rosendaal FR, Briet E. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. *Circulation*. 1994;89(2):635-641.
- 117. Stein PD, Alpert JS, Bussey HI, Dalen JE, Turpie AG. Antithrombotic therapy in patients with mechanical and biological prosthetic heart valves [erratum appears in *Chest* 2001;120(3):1044]. *Chest*. 2001;119(1 suppl):220S-227S.
- 118. Torella M, Torella D, Chiodini P, et al. LOWERing the INtensity of oral anticoaGulant Therapy in patients with bileaflet mechanical aortic valve replacement: results from the "LOWERING-IT" Trial. Am Heart J. 2010;160(1):171-178.
- Hering D, Piper C, Bergemann R, et al. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications following St. Jude Medical valve replacement: results of the German Experience With Low-Intensity Anticoagulation Study. *Chest.* 2005;127(1):53-59.
- 120. Acar J, Iung B, Boissel JP, et al. AREVA: multicenter randomized comparison of low-dose versus standard-dose anticoagulation in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. *Circulation*. 1996;94(9):2107-2112.
- 121. Pengo V, Barbero F, Banzato A, et al. A comparison of a moderate with moderate-high intensity oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;77(5):839-844.
- 122. Cannegieter SC, Rosendaal FR, Wintzen AR, van der Meer FJ, Vandenbroucke JP, Briet E. Optimal oral anticoagulant therapy in patients with mechanical heart valves [comment]. N Engl J Med. 1995;333(1):11-17.
- 123. Prufer D, Dahm M, Dohmen G, Horstkotte D, Bergemann R, Oelert H. Intensity of oral anticoagulation after implantation of St. Jude Medical mitral or multiple valve replacement: lessons learned from GELIA (GELIA 5). *Eur Heart J.* 2001;3(Suppl Q):Q39-Q43.
- 124. McGrath LB, Gonzalez-Lavin L, Eldredge WJ, Colombi M, Restrepo D. Thromboembolic and other events following valve replacement in a pediatric population treated with antiplatelet agents. Ann Thorac Surg. 1987;43(3): 285-287.

- 125. Serra AJ, McNicholas KW, Olivier HF Jr, Boe SL, Lemole GM. The choice of anticoagulation in pediatric patients with the St. Jude Medical valve prostheses. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)*. 1987;28(5):588-591.
- 126. Schlitt A, von Bardeleben RS, Ehrlich A, et al. Clopidogrel and aspirin in the prevention of thromboembolic complications after mechanical aortic valve replacement (CAPTA). *Thromb Res.* 2003;109(2-3):131-135.
- 127. Edmunds Jr LH, Clark RE, Cohn LH, Grunkemeier GL, Miller DC, Weisel RD, et al. Guidelines for reporting morbidity and mortality after cardiac valvular operations. Ann Thorac Surg. 1996;62:932-935.
- Duran CM, Gometza B, Shahid M, Al-Halees Z. Treated bovine and autologous pericardium for aortic valve reconstruction. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1998;66(Suppl 6):S166-S169.
- 129. Duran CM, Gometza B, Kumar N, Gallo R, Bjornstad K. From aortic cusp extension to valve replacement with stentless pericardium. Ann Thorac Surg. 1995;60(Suppl 2): S428-S432.
- 130. Barbetseas J, Nagueh SF, Pitsavos C, Toutouzas PK, Quinones MA, Zoghbi WA. Differentiating thrombus from pannus formation in obstructed mechanical prosthetic valves: an evaluation of clinical, transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiographic parameters. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32(5):1410-1417.
- Roudaut R, Lafitte S, Roudaut MF, et al. Fibrinolysis of mechanical prosthetic valve thrombosis: a single-center study of 127 cases. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41(4):653-658.
- 132. Alpert JS. The thrombosed prosthetic valve: current recommendations based on evidence from the literature. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41(4):659-660.
- Deviri E, Sareli P, Wisenbaugh T, Cronje SL. Obstruction of mechanical heart valve prostheses: clinical aspects and surgical management. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1991;17(3):646-650.
- 134. Tong AT, Roudaut R, Ozkan M, et al. Transesophageal echocardiography improves risk assessment of thrombolysis of prosthetic valve thrombosis: results of the international PRO-TEE registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43(1):77-84.
- 135. Roudaut R, Lafitte S, Roudaut MF, et al. Management of prosthetic heart valve obstruction: Fibrinolysis versus surgery. Early results and long-term follow-up in a single-center study of 263 cases. Arch Cardiovasc Dis. 2009;102(4):269-277.

- 136. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Mamo LA, Gallus AS. Trial of different intensities of anticoagulation in patients with prosthetic heart valves. *N Engl J Med.* 1990;322:428-432.
- 137. Turpie AG, Gent M, Laupacis A, et al. A comparison of aspirin with placebo in patients treated with warfarin after heart-valve replacement. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:524-529.
- 138. Meschengieser SS, Fondevila CG, Frontroth J, Santarelli MT, Lazzari MA. Low-intensity oral anticoagulation plus lowdose aspirin versus high-intensity oral anticoagulation alone: a randomized trial in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1997;113:910-916.
- 139. Laffort P, Roudaut R, Roques X, et al. Early and long-term (one-year) effects of the association of aspirin and oral anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after replacement of the mitral valve with the St. Jude medical prosthesis: a clinical and transesophageal echocardiographic study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;35:739-746.
- 140. Pengo V, Palareti G, Cucchini U, et al. Low-intensity oral anticoagulant plus low-dose aspirin during the first six months versus standard-intensity oral anticoagulant therapy after mechanical heart valve replacement: a pilot study of low-intensity warfarin and aspirin in cardiac prostheses (LIWACAP). *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2007;13:241-248.
- 141. Altman R, Boullon F, Rouvier J, Raca R, de la Fuente L, Favaloro R. Aspirin and prophylaxis of thromboembolic complications in patients with substitute heart valves. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1976;72:127-9.
- 142. Bran M, Capel P, Messin R. Reduction of platelet activity in patients with prosthetic heart valves. *Rev Med Brux*. 1980;1:71-75.
- 143. Casais P, Meschengieser SS, Sanchez Luceros AG, Bermejo EI, Lazzari MA. Effect of low-dose aspirin on the international normalized ratio variability in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. *Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb.* 2002;32:155-157.
- 144. Dale J, Myhre E, Loew D. Bleeding during acetylsalicylic acid and anticoagulant therapy in patients with reduced platelet reactivity after aortic valve replacement. Am Heart J. 1980;99:746-752.
- 145. Sullivan JM, Harken DE, Gorlin R. Pharmacologic control of thromboembolic complications of cardiac-valve replacement. N Engl J Med. 1971;284:1391-1394.



CHEST

1

### Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy for Valvular Disease

Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Richard P. Whitlock, MD; Jack C. Sun, MD; Stephen E. Fremes, MD, FCCP; Fraser D. Rubens, MD; and Kevin H. Teoh, MD, FCCP

		Q	Quality Assessment	t					Summary of Findings	ıgs	
No. of						_	Study Ev	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated A	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With VKA	With VKA With ASA	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With ASA (95% CI)
				Recurrent strok	e or death (criti	Recurrent stroke or death (critical outcome; assessed with: clinical)	sessed with: clin	ical)			
203 (1 study) 2 y	203 (1 study) No serious 2 y risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecis	Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecision	16 of 97 (16.5)	of 14 of 97 (16.5) 106 (13.2)	RR, 0.8 (0.41-1.55) 165 per 1,000 33 fewer per 10,00 (fror 97 fewer to 91 more	165 per 1,000	33 fewer per 10,00 (from 97 fewer to 91 more)
Bibliography: patent forame	Homma S, Saccc n ovale in Cryptc	RL, Di Tullio MR, ogenic Stroke Study.	Sciacca RR, Mohn Circulation. 2002	r JP; PFO in Cr. 2;105(22):2625-2	yptogenic Stroko 2631. ASA = ace	e Study (PICSS) stylsalicylic acid;	PFO = patent	Effect of medic foramen ovale;	Bibliography: Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR, Sciacca RR, Mohr JP; PFO in Cryptogenic Stroke Study (PICSS) Investigators. Effect of medical treatment in stroke patients with patent foramen ovale: patent foramen ovale in Cryptogenic Stroke Study. <i>Circulation</i> . 2002;105(225):2625-2631. ASA = acetylsalicylic acid; PFO = patent foramen ovale; RR = relative risk; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.	oatients with pate A = vitamin K ant	nt foramen ovale: agonist.

 Table S1—[Section 6.2.2] Aspirin vs Warfarin for the Prevention of Recurrent Stroke or Death in Patients With PFO: Should ASA vs VKA Be Used for Stroke

 Patients With PFO?

<sup>a</sup>Wide CIs for effect estimates.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the American College of Chest Physicians © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

			Quality Assessment	nent					Summary of Findings	ıgs	
No of						_	Study Ev	Study Event Rates (%)	1	Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness Imprecision	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Control	With Antiplatelet Agents	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk with Control	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Agents (95% CI)
					Mortal	Mortality (critical outcome)					
114 (1 study)	114 (1 study) No serious risk No serious of bias inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Undetected	Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecision	6 of 55 (10.9)	6 of 55 (10.9) 4 of 59 (6.8)	OR, 0.58 (0.16-2.19)	109 per 1,000 43 fewer 1,000 per 1,000 (from to 102	43 fewer per 1,000 (from 90 fewer to 102 more)
			Thromboembolism	olism includin	ng stroke (import	i including stroke (important outcome; assessed with: clinical examination, CT scan)	d with: clinical $\epsilon$	sxamination, CT sc	an)		
114 (1 study)		No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Undetected	See comment	11 of 55 (20)	11 of 55 (20) 17 of 59 (28.8)	OR, 1.62 (0.62-3.86)	200 per 1,000 88 more per 1, (from to 291	88 more per 1,000 (from 66 fewer to 291 more)
				Major hem	orrhage (import:	$Major\ hemorrhage\ (important\ outcome;\ assessed\ with:\ well\ defined^b)$	d with: well defi	ned <sup>b</sup> )			
114 (1 study)	114 (1 study) No serious risk No serious of bias inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Undetected	Moderate <sup>a</sup> due to imprecision	3 of 55 (5.5)	7 of 59 (11.9)	OR, 1.92 (0.76-4.86)	55 per 1,000	45 more per 1,000 (from 13 fewer to 164 more)
Bibliography: <sup>a</sup> Wide CIs for <sup>b</sup> Intracranial hematoma or	Bibliography: Chan KL, Dumesnil J «Wide CIs for effect estimates. <sup>b</sup> Intracranial bleeding: overt bleedir hematoma or paraspinal hematoma.	ssnil JG, Cujec B eeding resulting oma.	, et al. A random in a decrease in h	ized trial of as <sub>j</sub> 1emoglobin ≥5	pirin on the risk 20 g/L or requiri	Bibliography: Chan KL, Dumesnil JG, Cujec B, et al. A randomized trial of aspirin on the risk of embolic events in patients with infective endocarditis. <i>J Am Coll Cardiol</i> . 2003;42(5):775-780. ▲Wide CIs for effect estimates. ↓Intracranial bleeding: overt bleeding resulting in a decrease in hemoglobin ≥ 20 g/L or requiring blood transfusion; and bleeding into a confined space, which can cause severe morbidity, such as pericardial hematoma or paraspinal hematoma.	patients with inf and bleeding int	fective endocarditis to a confined space,	s. J Am Coll Cardio , which can cause se	<i>d.</i> 2003;42(5):77 evere morbidity,	75-780. , such as pericardial

-[Section 7.1.2] The Effect of Asnirin Theranu on Outcomes of Infective Endocarditis: Should Antivlatelet Agents Be Used for Infective Endocarditis<sup>2</sup> Table S2-

# © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the American in College of Chest Dowinioaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

1	1	Γ
lve Implantation: Should Oral	f Findings	Anticipated Absolute Effects
rst 3 mo After Bioprosthetic Aortic Val pprosthetic Aortic Valve?	Summary of Findings	Study Event Rates (%)
Table S3—[Section 8.2.1] Effect of VKA Therapy on Stroke and Major Bleeding in the First 3 mo After Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve Implantation: Should Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo Be Used for Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve?	Quality Assessment	

			Quality Assessment	nent					Summary of Findings	gs	
							Study Ev	Study Event Rates $(\%)$		Anticipated .	Anticipated Absolute Effects
No. of Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Control	With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo (95% CI)
				Stroke (importa	ant outcome; asses	Stroke (important outcome; assessed with: chart review, patient interviewa)	iew, patient inter	view <sup>a</sup> )			
$\begin{array}{l} 185 \ (1 \ study^b) \\ 3 \ mo^c \end{array}$	Serious <sup>d</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>e</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>d,e</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	5 of 76 (6.6)	8 of 109 (7.3)	RR, 1.1 (0.38-3.28)	66 per 1,000	7 more per 1,000 (from 41 fewer to 150 more)
			Major h	emorrhage (im)	portant outcome; ;	Major hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: chart review and patient interview)	t review and pati	ent interview)			
239 (1 study <sup>6</sup> ) 3 mo	Serious <sup>d</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>de</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	1 of $136(0.7)$ 4 of $103(3.9)$	4 of 103 (3.9)	RR, 5.12 (0.58-45.16)	7 per 1,000 30 more per 1,0 (from to 325	30 more per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 325 more)
Bibliography: Moim White WD, et al. C abbreviations. <sup>a</sup> Included new trans <sup>b</sup> Moimuddeen et al. <sup>c</sup> Follow-up longer, 1 <sup>d</sup> Retrospective stude <sup>c</sup> CI includes values <sup>f</sup> Blair et al.	Animudeen K al. Compariss transient or p et al. ger, mean not study: allocati ilues suggestin	Bibliography: Moinuddeen K, Quin J, Shaw R, et al. Anticoagulation is unnecessary after biologic aortic valve replacement. <i>Circulation</i> . 1998;98(19 suppl):I195-98; discussion I198-99. Blair KL, Hatton AC. White WD, et al. Comparison of anticoagulation regimens after Carpentier-Edwards aortic or mitral valve replacement. <i>Circulation</i> . 1994;90(5 pt 2):I1214-219. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviations. <sup>a</sup> Included new transient or permanent focal or global neurologic deficits. <sup>b</sup> Moinuddeen et al. <sup>c</sup> Follow-up longer, mean not reported. Three-month data used. <sup>d</sup> Retrospective study: allocation by surgeon clinical choice, event ascertainment/adjudication not blinded to therapy received. <sup>c</sup> follow-up longer, mean not reported. Three-month data used. <sup>d</sup> Retrospective study: allocation by surgeon clinical choice, event ascertainment/adjudication not blinded to therapy received. <sup>f</sup> Blair et al.	et al. Anticoagula on regimens afte global neurologic onth data used. ical choice, event i and values sugg	tion is unnecess ar Carpentier-F deficits. : ascertainment ; esting apprecia	sary after biologic 5dwards aortic or double adjudication not l bble benefit.	aortic valve replace mitral valve repla	ment. <i>Circulatic</i> cement. <i>Circula</i> received.	n. 1998;98(19 sup) tion. 1994;90(5 pt	2):1195-98; discussi 2):11214-219, See	on II98-99. Bla Table 1 legenc	ir KL, Hatton AC, I for expansion of

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

			Quality Assessment	ant					Summary of Findings	ngs	
No. of							Study Ev	Study Event Rates $(\%)$		Anticipated A	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Imprecision Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With VKA	With Antiplatelet Agent	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With VKA	Risk Difference With Antiplatelet Agent (95% CI)
				Mortali	Mortality (critical outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up)	e; assessed with: cl	inical follow-up				
69 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 3 mo	Serious <sup>b</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	2 of 35 (5.7)	2 of 34 (5.9)	RR, 1.03 (0.15-6.9)	57 per 1,000	2 more per 1,000 (from 49 fewer to 337 more)
					Major hemorrh	Major hemorrhage (important outcome)	come)				
260 (2 studies <sup>a,d</sup> ) 3-6 mo	Serious <sup>b,c</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	9 of 130 (6.9)	4 of 130 (3.1)	RR, $0.46$ $(0-1.6)^{\rm e}$	69 per 1,000	37 fewer per 1,000 (from 69 fewer to 42 more)
					Thromboemboli	Thromboembolism (important outcome)	come)				
191 (1 study <sup>d</sup> ) 6 mo	Serious <sup>b,c</sup>	No serious inconsistency	Serious <sup>f</sup>	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b.ef</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	3 of 95 (3.2)	6 of 96 (6.3)	RR, 1.98 (0.51-7.68)	32 per 1,000	31 more per 1,000 (from 15 fewer to 211 more)
				Stroke (	Stroke (important outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up)	e; assessed with: cl	inical follow-up				
$260 (2 \text{ studies}^{a,d})$ 3-6  mo	Serious <sup>b,c</sup>	No serious inconsistency	Serious <sup>f</sup>	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b.c.f</sup> due to risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision	4 of 130 (3.1)	6 of 130 (4.6)	RR, 1.52 (0.28-2.76)	31 per 1,000	16 more per 1,000 (from 22 fewer to 54 more)
Bibliography: Ara (trac): prospective ment with the St. *Colli et al.	mendi JI, Me 2, randomizec Jude Medica	Bibliography: Aramendi JI, Mestres CA, Martinez-Leon J, Campos V, J (trac): prospective, randomized, co-operative trial. <i>Eur J Cardiothorac</i> ment with the St. Jude Medical Epic heart valve bioprosthesis: results "Colli et al.	z-Leon J, Campe ıl. <i>Eur J Cardioth</i> bioprosthesis: re	os V, Muñoz G torac Surg. 20 sults of the W	Muñoz G, Navas C. Triflusal vs oral anticoagulation for primary prevention of thromboembolism after bioprosthetic valve replacement <i>Surg.</i> 2005;27(5):854-860. Colli A, Mestres CA, Castella M, Gherli T. Comparing warfarin to aspirin (WoA) after aortic valve replace- of the WoA Epic pilot trial. <i>J Heart Valve Dis.</i> 2007;16(6):667-671. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviations.	l vs oral anticoagul Colli A, Mestres C J Heart Valve Dis	lation for primar A. Castella M. ( 2007;16(6):667	ry prevention of th Gherli T. Compari 7-671. See Table 1	uromboembolism a ng warfarin to aspi legend for expans	fter bioprosthetic irin (WoA) after a ion of abbreviatio	valve replacement ortic valve replace- ns.
<sup>b</sup> Patient and clini <sup>c</sup> CI includes value <sup>d</sup> Aramendi et al.	cal care provi es suggesting	<sup>b</sup> Patient and clinical care providers not blinded, allocation concealed, event adjudicators we <sup>c</sup> CI includes values suggesting appreciable harm and values suggesting appreciable benefit. <sup>d</sup> Aramendi et al.	allocation conces and values sugge	aled, event adj esting appreci	event adjudicators were the investigators who were blinded to treatment. g appreciable benefit.	e investigators who	were blinded t	o treatment.			
<sup>e</sup> Aramendi and C	olli meta-ana	Aramendi and Colli meta-analyzed, fixed effects model.	s model.								

5

<sup>f</sup>Group mitral and aortic valves together (Aramendi et al).

Table S5—[Section 8.2.3] Effect of Anticoagulation on Thromboembolism and Major Bleeding in First 3 mo After Bioprosthetic Mitral Valve Implantation: Should Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo Be Used for Bioprosthetic Mitral Valve?
---

			Quality Assessment	nent					Summary of Findings	ğ	
							Study Ev	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
No. of Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Imprecision Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Control	With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo	Relative Effect Risk With (95% CI) Control	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation for First 3 mo (95% CI)
			E	Thromboembo	Thromboembolism <sup>a</sup> (important outcome; assessed with: protocol definition <sup>b</sup> )	itcome; assessed wi	ith: protocol defi	nition <sup>b</sup> )			
326 (1 study) 3 mo <sup>c</sup>	Serious <sup>d</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>e</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>de</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	4 of 46 (8.7)	7 of 280 (2.5)	RR, $0.31$ ( $0.09-1$ )	87 per 1,000	60 fewer per 1,000 (from 79 fewer to 0 more)
			Major h	emorrhage (in	Major hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: chart review and patient interview)	assessed with: char	t review and pati	ent interview)			
239 (1 study <sup>f</sup> ) 3 mo	Serious <sup>d</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>de</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	1 of 136 (0.7)	4 of 103 (3.9)	RR, 5.12 (0.58-45.16)	7 per 1,000	30 more per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 325 more)
Bibliography: Heras M, Chesebro White WD, et al. Comparison of abbreviation. <sup>a</sup> Heras et al. <sup>b</sup> Included cerebral, retinal, periph <sup>c</sup> Study followed for mean 8.3 y; 3-1 <sup>d</sup> Confounding highly likely. <sup>e</sup> Few events resulting in wide CIs. fBlair et al	Heras M, Che : al. Comparis Pral, retinal, F d for mean 8.3 highly likely. sulting in wide	Bibliography: Heras M, Chesebro JH, Fuster V, et al. High White WD, et al. Comparison of anticoagulation regimens abbreviation. • Heroid content and the second structure • Included cerebral, retinal, peripheral, and coronary emboli. • Study followed for mean 8.3 y; 3-mo data presented here • Confounding highly likely. • Few events resulting in wide CIs.	V, et al. High ris ion regimens afte onary emboli. ented here	er Carpentier- er Carpentier-	Bibliography: Heras M, Chesebro JH, Fuster V, et al. High risk of thromboemboli early after bioprosthetic cardiac valve replacement. <i>J Am Coll Cardiol</i> . 1995;25(5):1111-1119. Blair KL, Hatton AC, White WD, et al. Comparison of anticoagulation regimens after Carpentier-Edwards aortic or mitral valve replacement. <i>Circulation</i> . 1994;90(5 pt 2):11214-219. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviation. a Hense stal. a Hense stal. b Included cerebral, retinal, peripheral, and coronary emboli. <sup>6</sup> Study followed for mean 8.3 y; 3-mo data presented here <sup>6</sup> Confounding highly likely. <sup>6</sup> Feo vents resulting in wide CIs.	sioprosthetic cardiz mitral valve repla	c valve replacen cement. <i>Circula</i>	ient. J Am Coll C tion. 1994;90(5 pt	ardiol. 1995;25(5); : 2):11214-219. See	, Table 1 legen	ir KL, Hatton AC, d for expansion of

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from strange or strange at Washington University on Martin 5, 2012 © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

			Quality Assessment	ment				S	Summary of Findings	S	
Jo ol							Study Eve	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Risk of Bias Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Indirectness Imprecision Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Low INR	Vith Low INR With High INR	⊐ Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk with Low INR	Risk Difference With High INR (95% CI)
				Thromboemb	olism (important o	Thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: protocol definition $^{\rm s}$ )	vith: protocol defi	nition <sup>a</sup> )			
210 (1 study) Serious <sup>b</sup> 3 mo	Serious <sup>b</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	2 of 102 (2)	2 of 108 (1.9)	RR, 0.94 (0.14-6.58)	20 per 1,000 1 fewer per 1 (from to 10	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 17 fewer to 109 more)
					Major hemorrh	Major hemorrhage (important outcome)	tcome)				
210 (1 study)	Serious <sup>b</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>b,c</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	0 of 102 (0)	5 of 108 (4.6)	RR, $10$ (1.27-10)	÷	:
Bibliography: INR = interns	Turpie AG, Gu ational normal	Bibliography: Turpie AG, Gunstensen J, Hirsh J, Nelson H, Gent M. Randomized comparison of INR = international normalized ratio. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.	J, Nelson H, Gent ole 1 legend for ex	M. Randomized spansion of othe	d comparison of tw <sup>.</sup> er abbreviation.	Bibliography: Turpie AG, Gunstensen J, Hirsh J, Nelson H, Gent M. Randomized comparison of two intensities of oral anticoagulant therapy after tissue heart valve replacement. Lancet 1988;1(8597):1242-1245. INR = international normalized ratio. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.	anticoagulant then	apy after tissue hea	rt valve replacemen	t. Lancet 1988;1	(8597):1242-1245.
<sup>a</sup> Cerebrovasc <sup>b</sup> Sealed envel	ular accident l ope randomiza	asting >24 h, myo ation, not blinded,	ocardial infarction , groups aortic val	a with normal c lve with mitral v	oronaries, systemic valve and double-v	"Cerebrovascular accident lasting >24 h, myocardial infarction with normal coronaries, systemic embolism diagnosed with angiography or surgery. <sup>b</sup> Sealed envelope randomization, not blinded, groups aortic valve with mitral valve and double-valve replacements.	sed with angiogral	phy or surgery.			

° Few events resulting in wide CI including values suggesting appreciable harm and values suggesting appreciable benefit.

Table S6—[Section 8.2.3] Comparison of Lower INR Target (2.0-2.25) to Higher Target (2.5-4.0) for Bioprosthetic Values in the First 3 mo After Implantation: Should High INR as Low INR Re Used for Riomosthetic Value in First 3 mo?

### © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinioaded from the the strenge of the strenge of Chest Dowinioaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

				Anticoagu	num De US	Annoouguunon De Oseu Jor Mechannout Vanes:	acat varves				
		J	Quality Assessment	ţ				Su	Summary of Findings	S	
_						_	Study E	Study Event Rates (%)	1	Anticipated ,	Anticipated Absolute Effects
No. of							_		_	_	Risk Difference
rarucipants (Studies),					Publication	Publication Overall Quality		With Oral	Relative Effect Risk With Anticoagulation	Risk With	with Oral Anticoagulation
Follow-un	<b>Bick of Biac</b>	Bisk of Bias Inconsistency Indirectness	Indirectness	Imprecision	Biac	of Exidence	With Control	Immerision Rias of Evidence With Control Anticoaculation (95% CI) Control	(95% CI)	Control	(95% CI)

oembolism and V	Anticoagulation Be Used for Mechanical Valves?

No. of							_		_	_	
aants s), -up	k of Bias	Risk of Bias Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Control	With Oral With Control Anticoagulation	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With Control	Risk Difference With Oral Anticoagulation (95% CI)
				Thi	comboembolisi	Thromboembolism (important outcome)	some)				
997 (46 studies) Se 48 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate <sup>a,b</sup> due to risk	:	18 of 997 (1.8)-	RR, $0.21$ ( $0.16-0.27$ )	Μ	Moderate
				4		of bias, large effect				86 per 1,000 68 fewer per 1,0 (from to 72 f	68 fewer per 1,000 (from 63 fewer to 72 fewer)
			Vah	/e thrombosis (in	portant outco	Valve thrombosis (important outcome; assessed with: operation or autopsy)	: operation or au	itopsy)			
2,000 (46 studies) Se	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate <sup>a,b</sup> due to risk	18 of 1,000 (1.8)	2 of 1,000 (0.2)	RR, 0.11 (0.07-0.22)	Μ	Moderate
						of bias, large effect				18 per 1,000 16 fewer per 1,0 (from to 17 f	16 fewer per 1,000 (from 14 fewer to 17 fewer)

 ${}^{\rm a}$  Grouping randomized controlled trials with observational data, few events within studies.  ${}^{\rm b}Large$  magnitude of effect.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

		Qué	Quality Assessment					Sui	Summary of Findings	s	
No. of							Study Event Rates (%)	Rates (%)		Anticipated A	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Conventional INR	With Low INR	Relative Effect Conventional (95% CI) INR	Risk with Conventional INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
			Th	romboembolis	sm (important	outcome; assess	Thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up^a)	<i>w</i> -up <sup>a</sup> )			
396 (1 study) 5.6 y	396 (1 study) No serious risk No serious 5.6 y of bias inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecis	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	3 of 199 (1.5)	1 of 197 (0.51)	OR, 0.33 (0.006-4.2)	15 per 1,000	10 fewer per 1,000 (from 15 fewer to 45 more)
				Hemorrhage	(important out	tcome; assessed	Hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up)	tp)			
396 (1 study) 5.6 y	396 (1 study) No serious risk No serious 5.6 y of bias inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecis	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	16 of 199 (8)	6 of 197 (3)	OR, 0.36 (0.11-0.99)	80 per 1,000	50 fewer per 1,000 (from 1 fewer to 71 fewer)
Bibliography: Trial. Am Hea <sup>a</sup> Valve thromk	Bibliography: Torella M, Torella D, Chiodini P, et al. LOWERing the INtensity of oral anticoaGulan Trial. <i>Am Heart J</i> . 2010;160(1):171-178. See Table 1 and 6 legends for expansion of abbreviations. •Valve thrombosis, ischemic stroke, transient ischemic attack, coronary or peripheral embolism.	D, Chiodini P, et a 171-178. See Table oke, transient ische	al. LOWERing the <sup>3</sup> 1 and 6 legends emic attack, coror	e INtensity of c for expansion c aary or periphe	of abbreviation sral embolism.	ant Therapy in F 1s.	Bibliography: Torella M, Torella D, Chiodini P, et al. LOWERing the INtensity of oral anticoaGulant Therapy in patients with bileaflet mechanical aortic valve replacement: results from the "LOWERING-IT" Trial. <i>Am Heart J.</i> 2010;160(1):171-178. See Table 1 and 6 legends for expansion of abbreviations. Valve thrombosis, ischemic stroke, transient ischemic attack, coronary or peripheral embolism.	nechanical aortic	valve replacement	: results from the	"LOWERING-IT"

 Table S8—[Section 9.3.1] Comparison of Lower INR Target (1.5-2.5) to Higher Target (2.0-3.0) for Low-Risk Mechanical Aortic Valves: Should Low

 INR vs Conventional INR Be Used for Low-Risk Mechanical Aortic Valve?

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

9

<sup>b</sup>Few events within study with corresponding wide CIs.

			Quality Assessment	it					Summary of Findings	lings	
No. of							Study Eve	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With High INR	With Low INR	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With High INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
				Th	Thromboembolism (important outcome)	mportant outcom	le)				
1,347 (1 study <sup>a</sup> ) 30 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	11 of 672 (1.6)	8 of 675 (1.2)	RR, 0.72 (0.29-1.79)	16 per 1,000	5 fewer per 1,000 (from 12 fewer to 13 more)
		V	<b>Aajor hemorrhage</b>	(important ou	Major hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: varied, Hering used Karnofsky scale grade III)	th: varied, Hering	g used Karnofsk	y scale grade III	(		
2,539 (4 studies) 33.5 mo	Serious	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>b</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	53 of 1,264 (4.2)	30 of 1,275 (2.4)	RR, 0.60 (0.16-1.03)	42 per 1,000	17 fewer per 1,000 (from 35 fewer to 1 more)
					Mortality <sup>e</sup> (critical outcome)	cal outcome)					
205 (1 study <sup>s</sup> )	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Very serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	3 of 101 (3)	3 of 104 (2.9)	RR, $0.97$ ( $0.2-4.7$ )	30 per 1,000	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 24 fewer to 110 more)
Bibliography: He Anticoagulation S heart valve prostl mechanical prost New Engl J Med.	ring D, Piper C. Study. <i>Chest.</i> 200 acses. <i>Thromb L</i> hetic heart valve 1990;322(7):428	Bibliography: Hering D, Piper C, Bergemann R, et al. Thromboemb Anticoagulation Study. <i>Chest.</i> 2005;127(1):53-59. Pengo V, Barbero F heart valve prostheses. <i>Thromb Haemost.</i> 1997;77(5):839-844. Acar J mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>Circulation.</i> 1996;94(9):2107-211 <i>New Engl J Med.</i> 1990;322(7):428-432. See Table 1 and 6 legends for	al. Thromboemb engo V, Barbero F 5):839-844. Acar J 96;94(9):2107-211 and 6 legends for	olic and bleeding complicat , Banzato A, et al. A compa , Iung B, Boissel JP, et al. A 2. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Ma expansion of abbreviations.	Bibliography: Hering D, Piper C, Bergemann R, et al. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications following St. Jude Medical valve replacement: results of the German Experience With Low-Intensity Anticoagulation Study. <i>Chest.</i> 2005;127(1):53-59. Pengo V, Barbero F, Banzato A, et al. A comparison of a moderate with moderate-high intensity oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. <i>Thromb Haemost.</i> 1997;77(5):839-844. Acar J, Iung B, Boissel JP, et al. AREVA: multicenter randomized comparison of low-dose vs standard-dose anticoagulation in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>Circulation.</i> 1996;94(9):2107-2112. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Mamo LA, Gallus AS. Trial of different intensities of anticoagulation in patients with prosthetic heart valves. <i>Level Engl J Med.</i> 1990;322(7):428-432. See Table 1 and 6 legends for expansion of abbreviations.	ollowing St. Jude of a moderate wit .: multicenter rar t, Gallus AS. Tria	Medical valve r h moderate-hig ndomized comp l of different in	eplacement: res h intensity oral a arison of low-do tensities of anti	ults of the Germa mticoagulant trea se vs standard-do coagulation in pat	in Experience V trment in patient se anticoagulati tients with prost	7ith Low-Intensity s with mechanical on in patients with hetic heart valves.

Table S9—[Section 9.3.2] Commarison of Higher INR Targets (Range 3.0-9.0) vs Lower Targets (Range 2.0-3.5) in Patients With Mechanical Aortic Valve: Should

<sup>a</sup>Hering et al.

 $^b\mathrm{Few}$  events within study with corresponding wide CIs.  $^c\mathrm{Pengo}$  et al.

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

		Q	Quality Assessmen	t					Summary of Findings	lings	
No of						_	Study Ev	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Imprecision Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With High INR	With Low INR	☐ Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With High INR	Risk Difference With Low INR (95% CI)
			Majo	or hemorrhage (	Major hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: definitions varied)	ne; assessed with:	definitions vari	ed)			
2,539 (4 studies) 33.5 mo	Serious	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>ab</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	53 of 1,264 (4.2)	30 of 1,275 (2.4)	RR, 0.60 (0.16-1.03)	42 per 1,000	17 fewer per 1,000 (from 35 fewer to 1 more)
					Mortality <sup>e</sup> (critical outcome)	cal outcome)					
205 (1 study <sup>e</sup> )	No serious risk No serious of bias inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Very serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	3 of 101 (3)	3 of 104 (2.9)	RR, 0.97 (0.2-4.7)	30 per 1,000	1 fewer per 1,000 (from 24 fewer to 110 more)
				Thre	Thromboembolism <sup>d</sup> (important outcome)	mportant outcom	ne)				
360 (1 study <sup>d</sup> ) 30 mo	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>b</sup>	Undetected	Moderate <sup>b</sup> due to imprecision	5 of 178 (2.8)	12 of 182 (6.6)	RR, 2.25 (0.84-6.53)	28 per 1,000	35 more per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 155 more)
Bibliography: He Anticoagulation 1 heart valve prost mechanical prost New Engl J Med. <sup>a</sup> Wide variation i <sup>b</sup> Few events with <sup>c</sup> Pengo et al.	ering D, Piper C, Study. <i>Chest.</i> 2005 theses. <i>Thromb Hc</i> thetic heart valves . 1990;322(7):428- in target ranges of in study with corr	Bibliography: Hering D, Fiper C, Bergemann R, et al. Thromboembolic and bleeding complications following St. Jude Medical valve replacement: results of the German Experience With Low-Intensity. Anticoagulation Study. <i>Chest.</i> 2005;127(1):53-59. Pengo V, Barbero F, Banzato A, et al. A comparison of a moderate with moderate-high intensity oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. <i>Thromb Haemost.</i> 1997;77(5):839-844. Acar J, Iung B, Boissel JF, et al. AREVA: multicenter randomized comparison of low-dose vs standard-dose anticoagulation in patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>Circulation.</i> 1996;94(9):2107-2112. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Mamo LA, Gallus AS. Trial of different intensities of anticoagulation in patients with prosthetic heart valves. <i>New Engl J Med.</i> 1990;322(7):428-432. See Table 1 and 6 legends for expansion of abbreviations. <sup>a</sup> Wide variation in target ranges of INR among studies with likely differing effects on bleeding outcome. <sup>b</sup> Few events within study with corresponding wide CIs. <sup>c</sup> Pengo et al.	<ul> <li>I. Thromboemborg I. Thromboemborg K.</li> <li>S39-844. Acar J.</li> <li>S39-844. Acar J.</li> <li>S39-844. Acar J.</li> <li>S39-849. S107-211</li> <li>G legends for an of the state of the sta</li></ul>	olic and bleeding complicat , Banzato A, et al. A compa , Lung B, Boissel JP, et al. A 2. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Maa expansion of abbreviations. ering effects on bleeding ou	g complications f al. A comparison o I. J.P., et al. AREVA eck JO, Mamo LA breviations. bleeding outcome	ollowing St. Jude of a moderate wit multicenter rar A, Gallus AS. Tria e.	Medical valve ch moderate-hig domized comp al of different in	replacement: re h intensity oral arison of low-du ntensities of ant	sults of the Germ anticoagulant tree se vs standard-dc icoagulation in pa icoagulation in	an Experience V thent in patien use anticoagulation tients with prost	blic and bleeding complications following St. Jude Medical valve replacement: results of the German Experience With Low-Intensity. Banzato A, et al. A comparison of a moderate with moderate-high intensity oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with mechanical Iung B, Boissel JP, et al. AREVA: multicenter randomized comparison of low-dose vs standard-dose anticoagulation in patients with 2. Saour JN, Sieck JO, Mamo LA, Gallus AS. Trial of different intensities of anticoagulation in patients with prosthetic heart valves. expansion of abbreviations.

Table S11—[Section 9.6] Effect of Addition Drug v
--

		Qı	Quality Assessment					S	Summary of Findings	ngs	
_						_	Study Ev	Study Event Rates $(\%)$		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
No. of Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With OAC Alone	With Oral OAC Plus Antiplatelet Drug	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With OAC Alone	Risk Difference With OAC Plus Antiplatelet Drug (95% CI)
				Mortality	(critical outcom	Mortality (critical outcome; assessed with: unclear)	: unclear)				
1,955 (8 studies) 19 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency <sup>b</sup>	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Moderate <sup>ab</sup> due to risk of bias	67 of 974 (6.9)	39 of 981 (4)°	RR, 0.58 (0.4-0.86)	69 per 1,000	29 fewer per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 41 fewer)
			Ţ	rromboembolism	n (important out	Thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: not reported)	vith: not report	ed)			
1,686 (5 studies) 19 mo	Very serious <sup>ad</sup> No serious inconsist	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision <sup>e</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>a,d,e</sup> due to risk of bias	58 of 837 (6.9)	25 of 849 (2.9) <sup>r</sup>	RR, 0.42 (0.21-0.81)	69 per 1,000	40 fewer per 1,000 (from 13 fewer to 55 fewer)
			Mitral val	ve-arterial throm	nboembolism (in	Mitral valve-arterial thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: unclear)	ie; assessed witl	n: unclear)			
163 (2 studies) 23 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Serious <sup>g</sup>	No serious indirectness	Very serious <sup>h</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>a,g,h</sup> due to risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision	5 of 69 (7.2)	6 of 94 (6.4)	RR, 1.18 (0.37-3.74) <sup>i</sup>	72 per 1,000	13 more per 1,000 (from 46 fewer to 199 more)
				Aortic valve-art	erial thromboen	Aortic valve-arterial thromboembolism (assessed with: unclear)	d with: unclear)				
423 (2 studies) 23 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Very serious <sup>h</sup>	Undetected Low <sup>a,h</sup> due of b	Low <sup>a,h</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	15 of 217 (6.9)	4 of 206 (1.9)	RR, 0.29 $(0.1-0.86)^9$	69 per 1,000	49 fewer per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 62 fewer)
				Valve thrombos	sis (important or	Valve thrombosis (important outcome; assessed with: unclear)	with: unclear)				
1,203 (3 studies) 12-30 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious	Undetected	Low <sup>a,J</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	26 of 592 (4.4)	15 of 611 (2.5)	RR, $0.40$ (0.18-0.9)	44 per 1,000	26 fewer per 1,000 (from 4 fewer to 36 fewer) (Continued)

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

p
2
ĩ
- <u>E</u>
2
0
Ŷ
÷
I
5
e,
Ta
-

		Ϋ́α	Auducy manualitation								
							∣ Γ Study Ev	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated	Anticipated Absolute Effects
No. of Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With OAC Alone	With Oral OAC Plus Antiplatelet Drug	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk With OAC Alone	Risk Difference With OAC Plus Antiplatelet Drug (95% CI)
				Ischemic stroke	important ou	Ischemic stroke (important outcome; assessed with: unclear)	l with: unclear)				
1,686 (6 studies) 12-30 mo	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious <sup>k</sup>	Undetected	Low <sup>a,k</sup> due to risk of bias, imprecision	55 of 837 (6.6)	19 of 849 (2.2)	RR, $0.28$ (0.15-0.52)	66 per 1,000	47 fewer per 1,000 (from 32 fewer to 56 fewer)
			A	Major hemorrhage (critical outcome; assessed with: not reported)	e (critical outec	ome; assessed wi	ith: not reported	(p			
1,854 (7 studies) 19 mo	Very serious <sup>a,1</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Low <sup>a,1</sup> due to risk of bias	63 of 931 (6.8)	91 оf 923 (9.9) <sup>m</sup>	RR, 1.44 (1-2.08)	68 per 1,000	30 more per 1,000 (from 0 more to 73 more)
Bibliography: Turpie AG, Gent M, Laupacis A, et al Meschengieser SS, Fondevila CG, Frontroth J, Santare with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>J Thorac Carri</i> anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after replacer 739-746. Pengo V, Palareti C, Cucchini U, et al. Low-in replacement: a pilot study of low-intensity warfarin an Favaloro R. Aspirin and prophylaxis of thromboembol platelet activity in patients with reduced platelet complications of cardiac-valve replacement. <i>New Engl</i> <sup>a</sup> Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies, rando <sup>b</sup> One of nine studies finds OAC + ASA to have higher ePercent deaths due to intracerebral bleeding not repo- scant nonfatal intracranial hemorrhage or nonfatal <sup>c</sup> <sup>c</sup> Trypes of thromboemboli reported varied and were no <sup>c</sup> Statistical heterogeneity: Cochran Q = 9.763529 (df = <sup>T</sup> Percent nonfatal intracranial hemorrhage or nonfatal <sup>c</sup> <sup>c</sup> Faver than 300 events; point estimates differ. <sup>b</sup> Fewer than 300 events (total = 41) and overall small s <sup>k</sup> Fewer than 300 events (total = 74); total sample size <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> Definition of major bleeding varied widely or was not <sup>c</sup> Faver than 300 events (total = 74); total sample size <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>	Bibliography: Turpie AG, Gent M, Laupacis A, Meschengieser SS, Fondevila CG, Frontroth J, S with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>J Thorac</i> anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after rep 739-746. Pengo V, Palareti G, Cucchini U, et al. L replacement: a pilot study of low-intensity warfari Favaloro R. Aspirin and prophylaxis of thromboc platelet activity in patients with prosthetic heart vi tional normalized ratio variability in patients with anticoagulant therapy in patients with reduced pla complications of cardiac-valve replacement. <i>New</i> Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies, 1 <sup>6</sup> One of nine studies finds OAC + ASA to have hi ePercent deaths due to intracerebral bleeding noi ePercent deaths due to intracerebral bleeding noi effypes of thromboemboli reported varied and we eStatistical heterogeneity: Cochran $Q = 9.763525$ Thereent nonfatal intracranial hemorrhage or non "Types of thromboer to the stimates differ. h Fewer than 300 events, and CI includes > 25% i Fixed effects model, two studies. Therewer than 300 events (total = 41) and overall s k Fewer than 300 events (total = 74); total sample i Percent and increated widely or wardied wardied widely or wardied wardied widely or wardied	Bibliography: Turpie AC, Gent M, Laupacis A, et al. A comparison of aspirin with placebo in patients treated wi Meschengieser SS, Fondevila CG, Frontroch J, Santarelli MT, Lazzari MA. Low-intensity oral anticoagulation plus low- with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. <i>J Thorac Cardiocase Surg</i> . 1997;113(5):910-916. Laffort P, Rondaut R, Roques anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after replacement of the mitral valve with the SL, Jude medical prosthesis: a c anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after replacement of the mitral valve with the SL Jude medical prosthesis: a c anticoagulant on thrombi and morbidity after replacement of the mitral valve with the SL Jude medical prosthesis: a strasplacement: a pilot study of low-intensity warfarin and aspirin in cardiac prostheses (LIWACAP). <i>Clin Appl Thromb H</i> Favaloro R. Aspirin and prophylaxis of thromboembolic complications in patients with substitute heart valves. <i>J Thon</i> platelet activity in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. <i>Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb.</i> 20 anticoagulant therapy in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. <i>Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb.</i> 20 anticoagulant therapy in patients with mechanical heart valve prostheses. <i>Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb.</i> 20 anticoagulant therapy in patients with mechanical heart valves and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and allocation concealment not described, outcome asc <i>Mix of open-label and partially blinded studies.</i> randomization and <i>d</i>	al. A comparison urelli MT, Lazzari tradiotoase Surg. It' eement of the mit- intensity oral anti und aspirim in card abilic complication se. Rev Med Brux estantical heart val gl f Med. 1971;28 gl f Med. 1971;28 gl f Med. 1971;28 and and al mortality (nonsi r mortality (nonsi ar mortality (nonsi al extracranial her f = 6) $P = .135; I^2$ al extracranial her from the sizes (tot e = 1,686. of provided. May	n of aspirin with MA. Low-intensi 97;113(5):910-91 97;113(5):910-91 97;113(5):910-91 100;113(5):113(5):11-75. like prostheses (L 1980;1(2):71-75. like prostheses. $Pa$ aortic valve replat aortic valve replat 4255:1391-1394. lication concetal vasculat reported "vasculat reported "vasculat reported "vasculat reported "vasculat reported vasculat reported vasculat reported vasculat than C morthage not rep- tal = 1,203). Resu tal = 1,203). Resu	placebo in pa ty oral anticoag (b. Laffort P, R St. Jude medi w-dose aspirin LWACAD). <i>Clu</i> whoth substitute he Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Hau cenent. Am Ht Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Hau cenent. Am Ht Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Hau cenent. Am Ht Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Hau Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Hau Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais P, Mescl Happhysiol Happhysiol Happhysiol Casais	<ul> <li>t aspirin with placebo in patients treated w. Low-intensity oral anticoagulation plus low.</li> <li>A. Low-intensity oral anticoagulation plus low wilve with the St. Jude medical prosthesis: a gulant plus low-dose aspirin during the first s prostheses (LIWACAP). <i>Clin Appl Thromb 1</i> n patients with substitute heart valves. <i>J Tho</i> 10 patients with substitute heart valves. <i>J Tho</i> 55:1391-1394. OAC = oral anticoagulation. S tic valve replacement. <i>Am Heart J</i>. 1980;99:7: 55:1391-1394. OAC = oral anticoagulation. S tic valve replacement not described, outcome as fiftcant) than OAC alone; all others find mech orted "vascular death" as 1 of 94 (1.1%) in OA studies. Not clear how bleeding monitored. 38:5%.</li> <li>a in potential for benefit or harm.</li> <li>a in potential for benefit or harm.</li> <li>a in potential for benefit or harm.</li> </ul>	vith wartarin at vith wartarin at -dose aspirin vs tes X, et al. Earl- te clinical and tra six months vs sta six months vs sta thenost. 2007;1: <i>nac Cardiovasc</i> <i>inchez</i> Luceros . 2002;32(4):155-1 2002;32(4):155-1 2002;32(4):155-1 46-752. Sullivar seertainment nc annical valves pr AC + ASA grou AC + ASA grou	Bibliographic Turpe AG, Gent M. Langueis A, et al. A comparison to appin with pateoto in patients treated with variant after heart-value engleneatur. <i>New Eng J Mat.</i> 1989;3303:532-535.455. Meschengieser SS, Fondelala CG, Frourton J, Stantelli MT, Lazari MA, Low-increity on lanticoagalation plus low-dose aspirin vs ligh-intensity oral anticoagalation alone a randomized trial in patients with medual protections: a clinical and transcophageal eclocardiographic study. <i>J Mac Cardio</i> 2000;35(3): 239-746. Fengy Y Platerti C, Teorhin U, et al. Low-intensity oral anticoagalatin plus low-dose aspirin during the first six months vs standard-intensity and anticoagalation of aspirin and oral patients and motivality after replacement of the mitral value with the St, Jude medial prosthesis: a clinical and transcoophageal eclocardiographic study. <i>J Mac Cardio</i> 2000;35(3): 239-746. Fengy Y Platerti C, Cuechini U, et al. Low-intensity oral anticoagalatin plus low-dose aspirin during the first six months vs standard-intensity and anticoagalation of aspirin and oral phatelet activity in prictin swith prostheck lender values. <i>Revee Med</i> Bran J 2008;10:71–72. J 2014 [ <i>J Marc Cardiorase Surg</i> , 1976;72(1):127–139. Bran M, Capel P, Messin R, Reduction of platelet activity in prictin swith reduced platelet reactivity after antic value replacement. <i>Am Harn J</i> , 1980;99:746-752. Sullsva JM, Harken DE, Corlin R, Pharmacologic control of thromboenholic complications of cardius-values reactions and allocation conscellment to telescoptesters. Sci Sanche J, 1975–137. Dale J, Myter E, Low D, Bleeding during acetylasily and anticoagalation and anticoagalation of apprint on the interna- tional anticoagalant therapy in patients with substitute leart values. J Marce E, Law CA, Barna JM. Effect of low-dose sapin on the interna- tional anticoagalant therapy in patients with reduced platelet radio platelet activity and and consequent on the association of patient substitution of the statica-sulace replacement. <i>Nan Edu</i> JM, <i>Marce SC</i> , Jame GJ, Ka	lacement. New E anticoagulation al e-year) effects of cardiographic stuc anticoagulant thu n R, Boullon F, R 27-129. Bran M, 27-129. Bran M, 207 in N. Effect of Sorlin R. Pharmac other abbreviatio other abbreviatio w are statistically in OAC alone gr	ngl J Med. 19 me: a randomi by. J Am Coll C erapy after mec convier J, Mess of low-dose asp for during acce ologic control t ns. significant bef oup.	Bibliographies AG, Gen M, Langnacki A et al. A comparison of asprint with placeton in patients treated with wartain alter heart-aver explacement. <i>New Engl Journal Disol</i> , 1967;113(5):2010-916. Laffort P, Rouden R, Roupes X, et al. Early and long-term ( <i>New Engl</i> ). <i>Journal Cardinases Sov</i> , 1997;113(5):910-916. Laffort P, Rouden R, Roupes X, et al. Early and long-term ( <i>New Engl</i> ). <i>Journal Data Cardinases Sov</i> , 1997;113(5):910-916. Laffort P, Rouden R, Roupes X, et al. Early and long-term ( <i>New Engl</i> ). <i>Journal Data Cardinases Sov</i> , 1997;113(5):910-916. Laffort P, Rouden R, Roupes X, et al. Early and long-term ( <i>New Engl</i> ). <i>Journal Data Cardinases Sov</i> , 1997;113(5):910-916. Laffort P, Rouden R, Roupes X, et al. Early and long-term ( <i>New Engl</i> ). <i>Journal Sov</i> , 113(5):121-129, Bran M, Cardina and Asprin in actine govaluate this low-dose sapin during the first st months vs standard-intensity oral anticoagulation of asprint and toophylasis of thromboembolic complications in patients. <i>Journal Cardinases Sov</i> , 1976;52(1):127-129, Bran M, Capel P, Messin R, Reduction of Judiet activity in patients with mechanical heart valve govaluate the soviet ( <i>J. Rata NJS effect of three association of asprint formal cardina postery and anticoagulation in a transcopange of thromboembolic complications in patients. <i>Journal Cardinases Sov.</i>, 1976;52(1):127-129, Bran M, Capel P, Messin R, Reduction of Judiet activity in patients with mechanical heart valve govaluate the east valve govaluate the soviet (<i>J. Rata NJS effect of three association of asprint and anticoagulation in the association of asprint and anticoagulation in a transcopage of three association of asprint and anticoagulation and asprint in actiles govalue actiles and anticoagulation and asprint in actiles (<i>D. Appl T. Laza</i>). <i>Journal Cardinases</i>, 2013;137:137–139, Bata M, Canf Cardina 2005;35(1):137–139, Jata M, Anten DE, Cordin R, Pharnacologic control of three abbrained the transcoperate and anticoagulation platelet activity in patients with mechanical heartor <i></i></i></i>

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowisioaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

Summary of Findings	Study Event Rates (%) Anticipated Absolute Effects	Overall     Overall     Risk Difference       isk of     Publication     Quality of       Bias     Inconsistency     Indirectness       Inconsistency     Indirectness     Imprecision       Bias     Evidence     With VKA       Agent     (95% CI)     With VKA	Thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: guidelines from Edmunds et al)	<sup>x</sup> serious <sup>b</sup> No serious Serious <sup>d</sup> Undetected Low <sup>b-d</sup> 4 of 40 (10) 2 of 122 (1.6) RR, 0.16 100 per 1,000 84 fewer inconsistency due to risk due to risk (0.03-0.86) per 1,000 of bias, indirectness, indirectness, imprecision	Hemorrhage (important outcome)	<sup>x</sup> serious <sup>b</sup> No serious Serious <sup>d</sup> Undetected Low <sup>b-d</sup> 1 of 40 (2.5) 3 of 122 (2.5) RR, 0.98 25 per 1,000 0 fewer inconsistency due to risk 0.11-9.19) per 1,000 of bias, indirectness, indirectness, imprecision	Bibliography: Aramendi JL, Agredo J, Llorente A, Larrarte C, Pijoan J. Prevention of thromboembolism with ticlopidine shortly after valve repair or replacement with a bioprosthesis. <i>J Heart Valve Dis.</i> 1998;7(6):610-614. See Table 1 legend for expansion of abbreviations. <sup>a</sup> Prospective observational. <sup>b</sup> Imbalance in risk between groups. The Coumadin group carries greater risk with more patients with atrial fibrillation and older patients. There is no adjustment for this. Study not analyzed as intention
		Participants (Studies), Risk of Follow-up Bias Inconsist		162 (1 study") Very serious <sup>b</sup> No serious 3.2 y inconsis		162 (1 study") Very serious <sup>b</sup> No serious 3.2 y inconsis	Bibliography: Aramendi JL, Agredo J, Llor 1998;7(6):610-614. See Table 1 legend for e <sup>a</sup> Prospective observational. <sup>b</sup> Imbalance in risk between groups. The Co to treat.

Table S12-[Section 10.1] Comparison of Antiplatelet to Anticoagulation in the First 3 mo After Mitral Valve Repair: Should Antiplatelet Agent vs VKA Be Used for

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Downloaded from the American © 2012 American College of Chest Physicians

			Quality Assessment	tent					Summary of Findings	lings	
Mo. of							Study Eve	Study Event Rates (%)		Anticipated.	Anticipated Absolute Effects
Participants (Studies), Follow-up	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Overall Quality of Evidence	With Surgery	With Fibrinolysis	Relative Effect (95% CI)	Risk with Surgery	Risk Difference With Fibrinolysis (95% CI)
				Mortality (c	ritical outcome	Mortality (critical outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up)	nical follow-up)				
263 (1 study) 6 y	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	14 of 136 (10.3)	15 of 127 (11.8)	RR, 1.14 (0.58-2.28)	103 per 1,000	14 more per 1,000 (from 43 fewer to 132 more)
		Full hem	nodynamic succes:	s (important outco	ome; assessed $v$	Full hemodynamic success (important outcome; assessed with: hemodynamic normalization cinefluoroscopy, TTE, or TEE)	o normalization	cinefluoroscopy,	TTE, or TEE)		
263 (1 study) 6 y	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	122 of 136 (89.7)	90 of 127 (70.9)	RR, 0.79 (0.7-0.9)	897 per 1,000	188 fewer per 1,000 (from 90 fewer to 269 fewer)
			L	Thromboembolisn	a (important or	Thromboembolism (important outcome; assessed with: clinical follow-up)	ith: clinical follc	(dn-ma			
263 (1 study) 6 y	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias, large effect	1 of 136 (0.74)	19 of 127 (15)	RR, 20.35 (2.76-149.79)	7 per 1,000	142 more per 1,000 (from 13 more to 1,000 more)
				Hemorrhage (in	nportant outco	Hemorrhage (important outcome; assessed with: not clearly defined)	not clearly defir	ned)			
263 (1 study) 6 y	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Low <sup>a</sup> due to risk of bias	1 of 136 (0.74)	6 of 127 (4.7)	RR, 6.4 (0.78-52.6)	7 per 1,000	40 more per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 379 more)
				Recu	rrence of obstri	Recurrence of obstruction (important outcome)	outcome)				
187 (1 study) 6 y	Serious <sup>a</sup>	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	Undetected	Lowa due to risk of bias	10 of 88 (11.4)	24 of 99 (24.2)	RR, 2.13 (1.08-4.21)	114 per 1,000	128 more per 1,000 (from 9 more to 365 more)

© 2012 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Dowinicaded from the American College of Chest Physicians

### Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy for Valvular Disease : Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Richard P. Whitlock, Jack C. Sun, Stephen E. Fremes, Fraser D. Rubens and Kevin H. Teoh *Chest* 2012;141; e576S-e600S DOI 10.1378/chest.11-2305

### This information is current as of March 5, 2012

#### Supplementary Material

View e-supplements related to this article at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/suppl/2012/02/03/141.2\_suppl.e576S.DC1.html

### **Updated Information & Services**

Updated Information and services can be found at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S.full.html

#### References

This article cites 142 articles, 78 of which can be accessed free at: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S.full.html#ref-list-1

#### Cited Bys

This article has been cited by 6 HighWire-hosted articles: http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/141/2\_suppl/e576S.full.html#related-urls

#### Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

### Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.chestpubs.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

#### Citation Alerts

Receive free e-mail alerts when new articles cite this article. To sign up, select the "Services" link to the right of the online article.

#### Images in PowerPoint format

Figures that appear in *CHEST* articles can be downloaded for teaching purposes in PowerPoint slide format. See any online figure for directions.

